



Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

Confirming submission to Heliyon

1 pesan

Heliyon <em@editorialmanager.com>

22 Mei 2022 pukul 06.51

Balas Ke: Heliyon <info@heliyon.com>

Kepada: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

CC: "Teguh Kurniawan" teguh@untirta.ac.id, "Hafid Alwan" hafid.alwan@gmail.com, "Zaenal Arifin Muslim" zaenala.muslim@krakatausteel.com, "Hidayathul Akhmal" hidayatul.akhmal@krakatausteel.com, "Mochamad Adha Firdaus" mochamadhafirdaus@gmail.com, "Yazid Bindar" yazid@che.itb.ac.id

This is an automated message.

An optimization study of the Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) from the steelmaking process with three different cases using MATLAB® and Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) software.

Dear Dr.-Ing Irawan,

We have received the above referenced manuscript you submitted to the Energy section of Heliyon. It has been assigned the manuscript number HELIYON-D-22-10381. To track the status of your manuscript, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Being Processed" folder.

Thank you in advance for your understanding, and best wishes for the holiday season.

Kind regards,
Heliyon

More information and support

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: <https://www.elsevier.com/authors>

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: <https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email

#AU_HELIYON#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.



Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

Decision on submission HELIYON-D-22-10381 to Heliyon

2 pesan

Heliyon <em@editorialmanager.com>

14 Juni 2022 pukul 13.54

Balas Ke: Heliyon <info@heliyon.com>

Kepada: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

Manuscript. Number.: HELIYON-D-22-10381

Title: An optimization study of the Electric Arc Furnace (EAF) from the steelmaking process with three different cases using MATLAB® and Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) software.

Journal: Heliyon

Dear Dr.-Ing Irawan,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Heliyon. We have completed the review of your manuscript and a summary is appended below. The reviewers recommend major revisions are required before publication can be considered. If you are able to address all reviewer comments in full, I invite you to resubmit your manuscript. We ask that you respond to each reviewer comment by either outlining how the criticism was addressed in the revised manuscript or by providing a rebuttal to the criticism.

This should be carried out in a point-by-point fashion as illustrated here: <https://www.cell.com/heliyon/guide-for-authors#Revisions>.

To allow the editors and reviewers to easily assess your revised manuscript, we also ask that you upload a version of your manuscript highlighting any revisions made. You may wish to use Microsoft Word's Track Changes tool or, for LaTeX files, the latexdiff Perl script (<https://ctan.org/pkg/latexdiff>). To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder.

Your revision due date is Jul 24, 2022. We understand that the COVID-19 pandemic may well be causing disruption for you and your colleagues. If that is the case for you and it has an impact on your ability to make revisions to address the concerns that came up in the review process, please reach out to us. I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Kind regards,

Matt Liu

Scientific Managing Editor

Heliyon

Editor and Reviewer comments:

please kindly check and address to the comments from reviewers as follows. we totally understand the affect of pandemic. so please feel free to reach out to us in advance if you feel overwhelming to address to all those comments with reason(s), if any, before deadline. we look forward to your reply soon. good luck and take care!

Reviewer 1: Methods:Please refer to the "Other comments" section.

Results:Please refer to the "Other comments" section.

Interpretation:Please refer to the "Other comments" section.

Other comments:

Following are the comments that can be pursued for improving the paper:

1. How the data presented in Table 1 have been measured? Do you have any sources or references?
2. Also, Fig. 1 should be supported by an appropriate reference.
3. More information should be given regarding the differential equations given in the paper. In other words, how these mathematics models have been derived?
4. The conclusion section of the manuscript needs to be more detailed and robust. Please revise this part of the paper.

Reviewer 2: The paper addresses a fascinating and appealing research topic. The contents of the paper are sufficient. Some considerations could be evaluated before final acceptance:

Title:

* The title is too extended; please try to synthesize it.

Introduction:

* Although a brief literature review is provided on EAF processes, a more detailed analysis of the existing research works on this theme is needed. In my experience, computer tools to simulate the EAF process are widespread in the scientific literature (many are available on the market). Please detail the existing simulation models describing their advantages and limits. Consistent with this analysis, please describe the novelties of the proposed model. I think that this approach could lead to identify the papers challenged by this work, not highlighted in the current version of the manuscript.

* I believe the aim of the paper stated in the introduction section is clear. On the contrary, the work's target should be clarified in the manuscript's summary. Let me suggest adopting similar words from the introduction to the abstract of the work to define the paper's goal.

* A brief description of the paper's structure should be included at the end part of the introduction section.

Model development:

* It needed to describe the model; in other words, which are the model's features, the objective function, the output parameters, the constraints, the assumptions, etc. A list of 27 equations is reported without any description.

* Please define which criteria are applied to choose the three cases identified. The authors should justify which considerations have led to identify the range and the values assumed by HM and DRI percentages in three cases simulated.

Results:

* In section "Model Validation", please detail the evaluations to lead to estimate an error of 0.06, 2.8 and 0.074 between the actual and simulated process. In my view, this part of the manuscript requires significant improvement. It is needed that the authors provide all information to evaluate the model's reliability. The

authors underestimated this aspect in the current manuscript, not providing enough data to prove the model's dependability in different conditions.

* Consistent with the previous comment, although a low error was evaluated, the authors should provide consistent justification to claim that despite the estimated errors, their values don't compromise the reliability of the simulations.

Conclusion:

* A synthesis of major gaps filled by the manuscript and further development of the approach could help focus the results of the scientific investigation carried out and propose future development.

Figures, tables, and equations:

* Please describe all figures and tables in the body of the manuscript (e.g., fig. 1 is not introduced in the paper's text).

* Please show in figures 11, 12, and 14 the areas where points 1, 2, 3, and 4 are located (as shown in fig. 11a).

Mistakes:

* Please check that all acronyms are defined (in summary and in the body of the manuscript) when they are introduced for the first time. Many acronyms are not defined (e.g., DRI, HBI, etc.), and there are acronyms defined several times (e.g., CFD).

* Generally, the sections are numbered; please check on the authors' guidelines recommended by the journal's editor

Data in Brief (optional):

We invite you to convert your supplementary data (or a part of it) into an additional journal publication in Data in Brief, a multi-disciplinary open access journal. Data in Brief articles are a fantastic way to describe supplementary data and associated metadata, or full raw datasets deposited in an external repository, which are otherwise unnoticed. A Data in Brief article (which will be reviewed, formatted, indexed, and given a DOI) will make your data easier to find, reproduce, and cite.

You can submit to Data in Brief when you upload your revised manuscript. To do so, complete the template and follow the co-submission instructions found here: www.elsevier.com/dib-template. If your manuscript is accepted, your Data in Brief submission will automatically be transferred to Data in Brief for editorial review and publication.

Please note: an open access Article Publication Charge (APC) is payable by the author or research funder to cover the costs associated with publication in Data in Brief and ensure your data article is immediately and permanently free to access by all. For the current APC see: www.elsevier.com/journals/data-in-brief/2352-3409/open-access-journal

Please contact the Data in Brief editorial office at dib-me@elsevier.com or visit the Data in Brief homepage (www.journals.elsevier.com/data-in-brief/) if you have questions or need further information.

More information and support FAQ:

How do I revise my submission in Editorial Manager?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28463/supporthub/publishing/

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: <https://www.elsevier.com/authors>

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: <https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email

#AU_HELIYON#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

Kepada: RIAN NAUFAL <anton11975@yahoo.com>

14 Juni 2022 pukul 18.47

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

Decision on submission HELIYON-D-22-10381R2 to Heliyon

3 pesan

Heliyon <em@editorialmanager.com>
Balas Ke: Heliyon <info@heliyon.com>
Kepada: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

24 September 2022 pukul 18.32

Ms. No.: HELIYON-D-22-10381R2

Title: An energy optimization study of the electric arc furnace from the steel-making process with hot metal charging

Journal: Heliyon

Dear Dr.-Ing Irawan,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Heliyon. We have now received all of the editor and reviewer comments on your recent submission to Heliyon. Your paper should become acceptable for publication pending suitable minor revision outlined below. We ask that you respond to each reviewer comment by either outlining how the criticism was addressed in the revised manuscript or by providing a rebuttal to the criticism. This should be carried out in a point-by-point fashion as illustrated here: <https://www.cell.com/heliyon/guide-for-authors#RevisionsTo> allow the editors and reviewers to easily assess your revised manuscript, we also ask that you upload a version of your manuscript highlighting any revisions made. You may wish to use Microsoft Word's Track Changes tool or, for LaTeX files, the latexdiff Perl script (<https://ctan.org/pkg/latexdiff>).

To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder under the Author Main Menu. Your revision due date is Oct 14, 2022. We understand that the COVID-19 pandemic may well be causing disruption for you and your colleagues. If that is the case for you and it has an impact on your ability to make revisions to address the concerns that came up in the review process, please reach out to us.

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.^[obj]

Kind regards,

Matt Liu

Scientific Managing Editor

Heliyon

Editor and Reviewer comments:

Reviewer's Responses to Questions

Note: In order to effectively convey your recommendations for improvement to the author(s), and help editors make well-informed and efficient decisions, we ask you to answer the following specific questions about the manuscript and provide additional suggestions where appropriate.

1. Are the objectives and the rationale of the study clearly stated?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the clarity of the objectives and rationale of the study. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: yes, objectives are clearly explained

2. If applicable, is the application/theory/method/study reported in sufficient detail to allow for its replicability and/or reproducibility?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the replicability/reproducibility of their study. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

3. If applicable, are statistical analyses, controls, sampling mechanism, and statistical reporting (e.g., P-values, CIs, effect sizes) appropriate and well described?

Please clearly indicate if the manuscript requires additional peer review by a statistician. Kindly provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the statistical analyses, controls, sampling mechanism, or statistical reporting. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

4. Could the manuscript benefit from additional tables or figures, or from improving or removing (some of the) existing ones?

Please provide specific suggestions for improvements, removals, or additions of figures or tables. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: all ok

5. If applicable, are the interpretation of results and study conclusions supported by the data?

Please provide suggestions (if needed) to the author(s) on how to improve, tone down, or expand the study interpretations/conclusions. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

6. Have the authors clearly emphasized the strengths of their study/theory/methods/argument?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to better emphasize the strengths of their study. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: yes, strengths have been included

7. Have the authors clearly stated the limitations of their study/theory/methods/argument?

Please list the limitations that the author(s) need to add or emphasize. Please number each limitation so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: Limitations have been included in the revised version of the manuscript

8. Does the manuscript structure, flow or writing need improving (e.g., the addition of subheadings, shortening of text, reorganization of sections, or moving details from one section to another)?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the manuscript structure and flow. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: structure is nice and organized

9. Could the manuscript benefit from language editing?

Reviewer #3: No

Reviewer #3: The revised version of the manuscript is good, most of my recommendations have been addressed. However, my comments about the mesh quality have not been properly addressed:

"Subsection 2.2 Model development in dedusting system. This is by far the weakest part of the study. Please include more detail about the physics model used for the flow equations and so on. The mesh is the key part of a CFD based method. Please give more detail (not only a picture, fig2b), grid parameters such as skewness, cell aspect ratio, orthogonality etc"

The authors have to show proof about the quality of the mesh, similar as stated in the following papers: DOI10.1016/j.ast.2017.02.008 and DOI10.3390/pr9030503

More information and support FAQ:

How do I revise my submission in Editorial Manager?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28463/supporthub/publishing/

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: <https://www.elsevier.com/authors>

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: <https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email

#AU_HELIYON#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. ([Remove my information/details](#)). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>
Kepada: teguh@untirta.ac.id

26 September 2022 pukul 10.05

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>
Kepada: antonirawan1975@gmail.com

11 Oktober 2022 pukul 17.20

----- Forwarded message -----

Dari: **Heliyon** <em@editorialmanager.com>

Date: Sab, 24 Sep 2022 18.33

Subject: Decision on submission HELIYON-D-22-10381R2 to Heliyon

To: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

Decision on submission HELIYON-D-22-10381R3 to Heliyon

3 pesan

Heliyon <em@editorialmanager.com>
Balas Ke: Heliyon <info@heliyon.com>
Kepada: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

28 Oktober 2022 pukul 18.09

Ms. No.: HELIYON-D-22-10381R3
Title: An energy optimization study of the electric arc furnace from the steel-making process with hot metal charging
Journal: Heliyon

Dear Dr.-Ing Irawan,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Heliyon.

We have now received all of the editor and reviewer comments on your recent submission to Heliyon. Your paper will become acceptable for publication after implementation of minor formatting and/or administrative changes outlined below. To avoid unnecessary delays in the publication of your manuscript, please do not make any other additional changes during this revision.

To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder under the Author Main Menu. When submitting your revised manuscript, please ensure that you upload your most recent document with the "Revised manuscript file - highlighting revisions made" item type.

Kind regards,

Pradipa Mourougane
Editorial Section Manager
Heliyon

Embargo

Embargos are not automatically set for papers published in Heliyon. Papers appear online a few days after acceptance. To request a media embargo and/or publication on a specific date to assist an institutional press release, please reach out to the Heliyon team (info@heliyon.com) as soon as possible and we will do our best to accommodate your request.

Heliyon is an online publication and we do not impose a limit on the length of the article or the number of figures. If you have supplementary content you would prefer not to combine with your main manuscript file please ensure all your supplementary files are self-contained and can stand alone (title, legend, etc), that all labels and names within the supplementary content are unique to avoid duplication, and that these files are referenced within the main text. Please also ensure that the file name for each file is labelled as the file is referenced in-text, as that is how they will be named on our website. If you have any supplementary videos/audio files please provide a title and legend at the end of your manuscript for these.

Editor and Reviewer comments:

Reviewer's Responses to Questions

Note: In order to effectively convey your recommendations for improvement to the author(s), and help editors make well-informed and efficient decisions, we ask you to answer the following specific questions about the manuscript and provide additional suggestions where appropriate.

1. Are the objectives and the rationale of the study clearly stated?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the clarity of the objectives and rationale of the study. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: objectives are well explained and defined

2. If applicable, is the application/theory/method/study reported in sufficient detail to allow for its replicability and/or reproducibility?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the replicability/reproducibility of their study. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

3. If applicable, are statistical analyses, controls, sampling mechanism, and statistical reporting (e.g., P-values, CIs, effect sizes) appropriate and well described?

Please clearly indicate if the manuscript requires additional peer review by a statistician. Kindly provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the statistical analyses, controls, sampling mechanism, or statistical reporting. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

4. Could the manuscript benefit from additional tables or figures, or from improving or removing (some of the) existing ones?

Please provide specific suggestions for improvements, removals, or additions of figures or tables. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: everything ok

5. If applicable, are the interpretation of results and study conclusions supported by the data?

Please provide suggestions (if needed) to the author(s) on how to improve, tone down, or expand the study interpretations/conclusions. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: Mark as appropriate with an X:

Yes No N/A

Provide further comments here:

6. Have the authors clearly emphasized the strengths of their study/theory/methods/argument?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to better emphasize the strengths of their study. Please number each suggestion so that the author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: strengths of the study are well argued

7. Have the authors clearly stated the limitations of their study/theory/methods/argument?

Please list the limitations that the author(s) need to add or emphasize. Please number each limitation so that author(s) can more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: limitations of the study are well argued

8. Does the manuscript structure, flow or writing need improving (e.g., the addition of subheadings, shortening of text, reorganization of sections, or moving details from one section to another)?

Please provide suggestions to the author(s) on how to improve the manuscript structure and flow. Please number each suggestion so that author(s) can

more easily respond.

Reviewer #3: everything ok

9. Could the manuscript benefit from language editing?

Reviewer #3: No

Reviewer #3: This field is optional. If you have any additional suggestions beyond those relevant to the questions above, please number and list them here.

Please note that all authors should have made substantial contributions to all of the following: (1) the conception and design of the study, the acquisition of data, or the analysis and interpretation of data (ie. at least one of sections 1-4 below); (2) drafting the article or critically revising its important intellectual content; (3) final approval of the version submitted. Currently, it seems author X only contributed to writing and revising the paper. Please correct your Author Contribution Statement to follow the guidelines above, using only Heliyon's standard wording as provided:

- 1 - Conceived and designed the experiments;
- 2 - Performed the experiments;
- 3 - Analyzed and interpreted the data;
- 4 - Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data;
- 5 - Wrote the paper.

Please ensure that any co-author with the contribution "Wrote the paper" has also contributed to at least one other numbered section, as drafting of the article is not sufficient contribution to justify authorship in Heliyon.

Please number all equations in your manuscript, consecutively (if referred to explicitly in the text). Currently, there are two equations numbered as equation 31.

Please reference all numbered equations in text. Currently, numbered equations [28 – 31] in the manuscript have not been cited in text.

Please reference all numbered figures in text. Currently, numbered figures [12(a - c), 13(a - c), 14(a - c)] in the manuscript have not been cited in the text.

More information and support

FAQ: How do I revise my submission in Editorial Manager?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28463/supporthub/publishing/

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: <https://www.elsevier.com/authors>

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: <https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email

#AU_HELIYON#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>
Kepada: RIAN NAUFAL <anton11975@yahoo.com>

29 Oktober 2022 pukul 04.53

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>
Kepada: teguh@untirta.ac.id

30 Oktober 2022 pukul 06.59

----- Forwarded message -----

Dari: **Heliyon** <em@editorialmanager.com>

Date: Jum, 28 Okt 2022 18.10

Subject: Decision on submission HELIYON-D-22-10381R3 to Heliyon

To: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

Decision on submission to Heliyon

1 pesan

Heliyon <em@editorialmanager.com>
Balas Ke: Heliyon <info@heliyon.com>
Kepada: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

1 November 2022 pukul 23.07

Manuscript Number: HELIYON-D-22-10381R4
Title: An energy optimization study of the electric arc furnace from the steel-making process with hot metal charging
Journal: Heliyon

Dear Dr.-Ing Irawan,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Heliyon.

I am pleased to inform you that your manuscript has been accepted for publication.

Your accepted manuscript will now be transferred to our production department. We will create a proof which you will be asked to check, and you will also be asked to complete a number of online forms required for publication. If we need additional information from you during the production process, we will contact you directly.

We appreciate and value your contribution to Heliyon. We regularly invite authors of recently published manuscript to participate in the peer review process. If you were not already part of the journal's reviewer pool, you have now been added to it. We look forward to your continued participation in our journal, and we hope you will consider us again for future submissions.

Kind regards,
Pradipa Mourougane
Editorial Section Manager
Heliyon

Embargo
Embargos are not automatically set for papers published in Heliyon. Papers appear online a few days after acceptance. To request a media embargo and/or publication on a specific date to assist an institutional press release, please reach out to the Heliyon team (info@heliyon.com) as soon as possible and we will do our best to accommodate your request.

Editor and Reviewer comments:

More information and support

FAQ: When and how will I receive the proofs of my article?
https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/6007/p/10592/supporthub/publishing/related/

You will find information relevant for you as an author on Elsevier's Author Hub: <https://www.elsevier.com/authors>

FAQ: How can I reset a forgotten password?

https://service.elsevier.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/28452/supporthub/publishing/

For further assistance, please visit our customer service site: <https://service.elsevier.com/app/home/supporthub/publishing/>

Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about Editorial Manager via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/7 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email

#AU_HELIYON#

To ensure this email reaches the intended recipient, please do not delete the above code

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/heliyon/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.