

## WAVE-D-22-00467 - Submission Confirmation

1 pesan

Waste and Biomass Valorization (WAVE) <em@editorialmanager.com>

15 April 2022 pukul 16.28

Balas Ke: "Waste and Biomass Valorization (WAVE)" <mariammal.arunachalam@springer.com> Kepada: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

Dear Dr.-Ing Irawan,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript, Pyrolysis of Polyolefins into Chemicals using Low-cost Natural Zeolites, to Waste and Biomass Valorization.

The submission id is: WAVE-D-22-00467

Please refer to this number in any future correspondence.

During the review process, you can keep track of the status of your manuscript in the journal's website.

Your username is: antonirawan1975

If you forgot your password, you can click the 'Send Login Details' link on the EM Login page at https://www.editorialmanager.com/wave/

Should you require any further assistance please feel free to e-mail the Editorial Office by clicking on "Contact Us" in the menu bar at the top of the screen.

With kind regards, Springer Journals Editorial Office Waste and Biomass Valorization

Now that your article will undergo the editorial and peer review process, it is the right time to think about publishing your article as open access. With open access your article will become freely available to anyone worldwide and you will easily comply with open access mandates. Springer's open access offering for this journal is called Open Choice (find more information on <a href="https://www.springer.com/openchoice">www.springer.com/openchoice</a>). Once your article is accepted, you will be offered the option to publish through open access. So you might want to talk to your institution and funder now to see how payment could be organized; for an overview of available open access funding please go to <a href="https://www.springer.com/oafunding">www.springer.com/oafunding</a>. Although for now you don't have to do anything, we would like to let you know about your upcoming options.

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <a href="https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy">https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy</a>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <a href="https://www.editorialmanager.com/wave/login.asp?a=r">https://www.editorialmanager.com/wave/login.asp?a=r</a>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

1 of 1 4/6/2023, 2:18 AM



# Major Revisions requested WAVE-D-22-00467

2 pesan

Waste and Biomass Valorization (WAVE) <em@editorialmanager.com>

21 Juli 2022 pukul 07.29

Balas Ke: "Waste and Biomass Valorization (WAVE)" <shopitha.velmurugan@springer.com> Kepada: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

CC: "Teguh Kurniawan" teguh@untirta.ac.id, "N Nurkholifah" nurkholifah99910@gmail.com, "M. Melina" melinalina17@gmail.com, "Asep Bayu Dani Nandiyanto" nandiyanto@upi.edu, "Mochamad Adha Firdaus" g201704250@kfupm.edu.sa, "Hafid Alwan" hafid.alwan@gmail.com, "Yazid Bindar" ybybyb@fti.itb.ac.id

Dear Dr.-Ing Irawan,

We have received the reports from our advisors on your manuscript, "Pyrolysis of Polyolefins into Chemicals using Low-cost Natural Zeolites", which you submitted to Waste and Biomass Valorization.

Based on the advice received, I feel that your manuscript could be reconsidered for publication should you be prepared to incorporate major revisions. When preparing your revised manuscript, you are asked to carefully consider the reviewer comments which can be found below, and submit a detailed list of responses to each of the comments in a separate sheet. You are kindly requested to also check the website for possible reviewer attachment(s).

In order to submit your revised manuscript, please access the journal's website.

Your username is: antonirawan1975

If you forgot your password, you can click the 'Send Login Details' link on the EM Login page at https://www.editorialmanager.com/wave/

Please make sure to submit your editable source files (i. e. Word, TeX).

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript before 31 Aug 2022.

With kind regards,

Ange Nzihou, PhD Editor in Chief Waste and Biomass Valorization

#### COMMENTS FOR THE AUTHOR:

Reviewer #2: The manuscript deals with pyrolysis of polyethylene and polypropylene in the presence of natural zeolite in a semi-batch reactor. The results of pyrolysis products are discussed comprehensively. Detailed characterization of products obtained is analyzed and presented. However, there are many grammar related mistakes, which need to be rectified by revisiting the manuscript. The manuscript may be considered for publication upon addressing following specific comments/suggestions.

- 1. Abstract: The first two sentences need to be removed (those can be part of introduction).
- 2. Page 2, Line 25: Sentence is incomplete.
- 3. Page 3, Line 28: Needs to be "plastic waste by 2028" instead of "plastic waste in 2028"
- 4. Importance of pyrolysis for petroleum derived materials can be highlighted by referring to following paper: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113854
- 5. In the introduction section, the discussion on importance of addressing the issue of plastic wastes can be further strengthened by referring to following papers:
- i) https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2020.1856976
- ii) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147004
- iii) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127332
- 6. Further, in the last paragraph of introduction section, couple of recent studies related to the use of zeolite for pyrolysis of plastic wastes, especially, polyolefins need to be included for bringing out further clarity on the novelty of

the current manuscript.

- 7. Page 3, line 58: Needs to be "Hence, it is needed..." instead of "Hence it is needed...."
- 8. The sentence "Based on our literature survey...." seems incorrect.
- 9. Replace "hours" by "h" throughout the manuscript.
- 10. Section 2.2: Needs to be "180 g natural zeolites was soaked...." instead of "An amount of 180 g natural zeolites was soaked"
- 11. Section 2.3: Needs to be "Fig. 1 presents the reactor setup....." instead of "Fig 1. presents the reactor setup....."
- 12. Section 2.3: Needs to be "300 g plastics and zeolites catalyst" instead of "An amount of 300 g plastics and zeolites catalyst"
- 13. Captions of Tables 3 and 4 and Figure 12 need to revisited.
- 14. Difference in the values shown in Table 3 and 4 in terms of area under the curve and its significance need to be explicitly mentioned.
- 15. Out of the three studied temperatures, at which temperature oil yield was highest and why?
- 16. Conclusion section: Needs to be "Pyrolysis of polyethylene and polypropylene was successfully...." instead of "Pyrolysis polyethylene and polypropylene were successfully..."

Reviewer #4: The scientific article is well written, and contains some good experimental data and discussion. However, the article does not flow well, and the results section is inadequately described in the text, especially the GC/MS section. This needs addressing before publication.

In addition, the following comments can be made:

#### Page 3

Line 37 - ". The temperature optimum for the catalytic reaction could produce the highest paraffin and olefin products at 400 °C." how does this compare to the reaction that has not been catalysed via HZ?

Line 7-9 "The oil yield and products selectivity improved significantly after using the natural zeolites." - how much did it improve by?

Line 33 - change "pyrolysis reaction" to "pyrolysis process"

Line 37-39 - what are the advantages & disadvantages to catalytic pyrolysis as opposed to non-catalytic pyrolysis?

Line 41-42: what type of pyrolysis reactor?

Line 39: you need to state more clearly: what is the aim of polyolefin pyrolysis? To produce light oil? Chemicals? Fuel? Clearly state what the aim of the study is and how you are trying to improve pyrolysis product composition and distribution

# Page 4

Line 1: are catalysts infinitely reusable, or do they require replacement? What is the mode of action by which catalysts assist in the pyrolysis reaction? Is it their high surface area, or surface chemistry? Please comment more on these in the introduction to make the paper more accessible to readers who do not specialise in catalytic pyrolysis

Line 7; "narrow range temperature" change to "narrow temperature range"

Line 11: "which pyrolysed at" to "which was pyrolysed at"

Line 39: add any material characterisation techniques that you used here. Photographs of your catalysts and samples would also be a good addition

#### Page 5

Figure 1: where did you add your catalyst? Was it dispersed in the bed, or on top? How did you mix them? What was the heating rate? What temperature was the cooling oil?

### Page 6

Figure 2: what experimental technique produced this graph? XRD? Please be specific

#### Page 7

Please label the peaks which you mention in the text (9.77° and 22.32°)

Line 43: why not test the effect of dealumination via gas sorption analysis? This would assess the change in porosity and surface area of the material. If this technique was not available, mention literature that has assessed the effects of acid washing on zeolite structure.

#### Page 9:

Figure 4a and 4b should have the same number of significant figures for all product yields

54: "increases" to "increased"

#### General:

It would be beneficial to have a diagrams showing the molecular unit of PE and PP in the introduction. A diagram showing the structure of a zeolite would also be useful

#### Page 1

Line 29 It would be good to have a schematic showing how an acidic surface reacts contributes towards PP and PE

2 of 7 4/6/2023, 2:20 AM

cracking

Page 11

Table 1: this table adds little value to the paper and does not really tell you anything that a short sentence cannot. If you want to test the zeolite for coking, I recommend ASTM D1618-18 or ASTM D3172.

Page 12

Line 25: "Generally, it shows that the higher the pyrolysis temperature, the higher the produced oil's density increased" - this does not make sense, please rephrase

Line 29-31: make sure you use the correct and consistent amount of significant figures

Page 13

Line 27: "There was a slight increase in olefinic products and a slight decrease in paraffinic products." It is difficult to conclude that this wasn't just due to error in the experimental techniques, as you have not done any repeats, which disallows for meaningful statistical analysis. I would therefore advise mentioning that this result may not be statistically significant and could be due to inherent variation in the process/feedstock.

Line 33-34: "The paraffinic product slightly decreased from 20% to 17%." See above

Page 14

Figure 9: superscript your degree symbol - °

Figure 10: this figure has been inadequately described in the text: are there more large hydrocarbons in the catalytically pyrolysed oil? Why is this? Also, where is the y axis label?

Lines 49-60: this seems like a discussion section but you have not mentioned the relevance of your GCMS results to anything you have mentioned

Page 15/16

Table 3: this is a difficult table to interpret meaningfully due to the amount of compounds which you have analysed for. I recommend adding a third column which shows the difference in peak area of the HZ-catalysed oil vs the non-catalysed oil, and ordering the compounds according to the biggest change. This will allow for quick interpretation regarding which chemicals the zeolite promotes the formation of.

Page 17

Line 1: I'm not really sure where this paragraph fits in with your results. You have a discussion section mentioning several literature studies, without really relating them to your own results.

Page 18

Line 12: oil pyrolysis to "pyrolysis oil

Page 18-19

You haven't related any of the discussion to your own laboratory results. This feels more like a literature review. Page 19

Line 41: "Thermal pyrolysis of PP over catalyst increased the yield of olefins from 39% to 62% Additionally, PE and PP plastics pyrolysis over catalyst produced the highest oil yields with 67% and 70%, respectively" at what temperature/conditions?

Reviewer #5: Authors have nicely worked and presented the research article. The study is well planned supported by analytical, experimental as well as other data in the form of tables and figures.

However, it requires further improvements as under:

Introduction: Pls cite recent references and mention the research gap in the area and justify why the study was undertaken and how these findings enrich the information for readers of WAVE as well as other scientific communities. Methods: Kindly detail few of the experiments procedure to be replicated by the others, mere citing the reference may not be enough.

R&D: It requires a lot of corrections, explaining each and every findings, with proper scientific discussion and justification. As of now it's less and just supported by only references. Quality of figures and tables must be improved and even few of the figures could be clubbed or in supplementary info please?

Conclusion must be in bullets and may be concise as per guidelines.

Please note that this journal is a Transformative Journal (TJ). Authors may publish their research with us through the traditional subscription access route or make their paper immediately open access through payment of an article-processing charge (APC). Authors will not be required to make a final decision about access to their article until it has been accepted.

<b>Authors may need to take specific actions to achieve compliance with funder and institutional open access mandates.</b> If your research is supported by a funder that requires immediate open access (e.g. according to Plan S principles) then you should select the gold OA route, and we will direct you to the compliant route where possible. For authors selecting the subscription publication route our standard licensing terms will need to be accepted, including our self-archiving policies. Those standard licensing terms will supersede any other terms that the author or any third party may assert apply to any version of the manuscript.

<a href= https://www.springernature.com/gp/open-research/funding/policy-compliance-faqs> Find out more about compliance</a>

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <a href="https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy">https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy</a>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/wave/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id> Kepada: RIAN NAUFAL <anton11975@yahoo.com> 21 Juli 2022 pukul 15.22

----- Forwarded message ------

Dari: Waste and Biomass Valorization (WAVE) <em@editorialmanager.com>

Date: Kam, 21 Jul 2022 pukul 07.31

Subject: Major Revisions requested WAVE-D-22-00467

To: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

CC: "Teguh Kurniawan" teguh@untirta.ac.id, "N Nurkholifah" nurkholifah99910@gmail.com, "M. Melina" melinalina17@gmail.com, "Asep Bayu Dani Nandiyanto" nandiyanto@upi.edu, "Mochamad Adha Firdaus" g201704250@kfupm.edu.sa, "Hafid Alwan" hafid.alwan@gmail.com, "Yazid Bindar" ybybyb@fti.itb.ac.id

Dear Dr.-Ing Irawan,

We have received the reports from our advisors on your manuscript, "Pyrolysis of Polyolefins into Chemicals using Low-cost Natural Zeolites", which you submitted to Waste and Biomass Valorization.

Based on the advice received, I feel that your manuscript could be reconsidered for publication should you be prepared to incorporate major revisions. When preparing your revised manuscript, you are asked to carefully consider the reviewer comments which can be found below, and submit a detailed list of responses to each of the comments in a separate sheet. You are kindly requested to also check the website for possible reviewer attachment(s).

In order to submit your revised manuscript, please access the journal's website.

Your username is: antonirawan1975

If you forgot your password, you can click the 'Send Login Details' link on the EM Login page at https://www.editorialmanager.com/wave/

Please make sure to submit your editable source files (i. e. Word, TeX).

We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript before 31 Aug 2022.

With kind regards,

Ange Nzihou, PhD Editor in Chief Waste and Biomass Valorization

#### COMMENTS FOR THE AUTHOR:

Reviewer #2: The manuscript deals with pyrolysis of polyethylene and polypropylene in the presence of natural zeolite in a semi-batch reactor. The results of pyrolysis products are discussed comprehensively. Detailed characterization of products obtained is analyzed and presented. However, there are many grammar related mistakes, which need to be rectified by revisiting the manuscript. The manuscript may be considered for publication upon addressing following specific comments/suggestions.

- 1. Abstract: The first two sentences need to be removed (those can be part of introduction).
- 2. Page 2, Line 25: Sentence is incomplete.
- Page 3, Line 28: Needs to be "plastic waste by 2028" instead of "plastic waste in 2028"
- 4. Importance of pyrolysis for petroleum derived materials can be highlighted by referring to following paper: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113854
- 5. In the introduction section, the discussion on importance of addressing the issue of plastic wastes can be further strengthened by referring to following papers:
- i) https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2020.1856976
- ii) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147004
- iii) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127332
- 6. Further, in the last paragraph of introduction section, couple of recent studies related to the use of zeolite for pyrolysis of plastic wastes, especially, polyolefins need to be included for bringing out further clarity on the novelty of the current manuscript.
- 7. Page 3, line 58: Needs to be "Hence, it is needed..." instead of " Hence it is needed...."
- 8. The sentence "Based on our literature survey...." seems incorrect.
- 9. Replace "hours" by "h" throughout the manuscript.
- 10. Section 2.2: Needs to be "180 g natural zeolites was soaked...." instead of "An amount of 180 g natural zeolites was soaked"
- 11. Section 2.3: Needs to be "Fig. 1 presents the reactor setup....." instead of "Fig 1. presents the reactor setup....."
- 12. Section 2.3: Needs to be "300 g plastics and zeolites catalyst" instead of "An amount of 300 g plastics and zeolites catalyst"
- 13. Captions of Tables 3 and 4 and Figure 12 need to revisited.
- 14. Difference in the values shown in Table 3 and 4 in terms of area under the curve and its significance need to be explicitly mentioned.
- 15. Out of the three studied temperatures, at which temperature oil yield was highest and why?
- 16. Conclusion section: Needs to be "Pyrolysis of polyethylene and polypropylene was successfully..." instead of "Pyrolysis polyethylene and polypropylene were successfully..."

Reviewer #4: The scientific article is well written, and contains some good experimental data and discussion. However, the article does not flow well, and the results section is inadequately described in the text, especially the GC/MS section. This needs addressing before publication.

In addition, the following comments can be made:

#### Page 3

Line 37 - ". The temperature optimum for the catalytic reaction could produce the highest paraffin and olefin products at 400 °C." how does this compare to the reaction that has not been catalysed via HZ?

Line 7-9 "The oil yield and products selectivity improved significantly after using the natural zeolites." - how much did it improve by?

Line 33 - change "pyrolysis reaction" to "pyrolysis process"

Line 37-39 - what are the advantages & disadvantages to catalytic pyrolysis as opposed to non-catalytic pyrolysis? Line 41-42: what type of pyrolysis reactor?

Line 39: you need to state more clearly: what is the aim of polyolefin pyrolysis? To produce light oil? Chemicals? Fuel? Clearly state what the aim of the study is and how you are trying to improve pyrolysis product composition and distribution

#### Page 4

Line 1: are catalysts infinitely reusable, or do they require replacement? What is the mode of action by which catalysts assist in the pyrolysis reaction? Is it their high surface area, or surface chemistry? Please comment more on these in

the introduction to make the paper more accessible to readers who do not specialise in catalytic pyrolysis

Line 7; "narrow range temperature" change to "narrow temperature range"

Line 11: "which pyrolysed at" to "which was pyrolysed at"

Line 39: add any material characterisation techniques that you used here. Photographs of your catalysts and samples would also be a good addition

Page 5

Figure 1: where did you add your catalyst? Was it dispersed in the bed, or on top? How did you mix them? What was the heating rate? What temperature was the cooling oil?

Page 6

Figure 2: what experimental technique produced this graph? XRD? Please be specific

Page 7

Please label the peaks which you mention in the text (9.77° and 22.32°)

Line 43: why not test the effect of dealumination via gas sorption analysis? This would assess the change in porosity and surface area of the material. If this technique was not available, mention literature that has assessed the effects of acid washing on zeolite structure.

Page 9:

Figure 4a and 4b should have the same number of significant figures for all product yields

54: "increases" to "increased"

General:

It would be beneficial to have a diagrams showing the molecular unit of PE and PP in the introduction. A diagram showing the structure of a zeolite would also be useful

Page 11

Line 29 It would be good to have a schematic showing how an acidic surface reacts contributes towards PP and PE cracking

Page 11

Table 1: this table adds little value to the paper and does not really tell you anything that a short sentence cannot. If you want to test the zeolite for coking, I recommend ASTM D1618-18 or ASTM D3172.

Page 12

Line 25: "Generally, it shows that the higher the pyrolysis temperature, the higher the produced oil's density increased" - this does not make sense, please rephrase

Line 29-31: make sure you use the correct and consistent amount of significant figures

Page 13

Line 27: "There was a slight increase in olefinic products and a slight decrease in paraffinic products." It is difficult to conclude that this wasn't just due to error in the experimental techniques, as you have not done any repeats, which disallows for meaningful statistical analysis. I would therefore advise mentioning that this result may not be statistically significant and could be due to inherent variation in the process/feedstock.

Line 33-34: "The paraffinic product slightly decreased from 20% to 17%." See above

Page 14

Figure 9: superscript your degree symbol - °

Figure 10: this figure has been inadequately described in the text: are there more large hydrocarbons in the catalytically pyrolysed oil? Why is this? Also, where is the y axis label?

Lines 49-60: this seems like a discussion section but you have not mentioned the relevance of your GCMS results to anything you have mentioned

Page 15/16

Table 3: this is a difficult table to interpret meaningfully due to the amount of compounds which you have analysed for. I recommend adding a third column which shows the difference in peak area of the HZ-catalysed oil vs the non-catalysed oil, and ordering the compounds according to the biggest change. This will allow for quick interpretation regarding which chemicals the zeolite promotes the formation of.

Page 17

Line 1: I'm not really sure where this paragraph fits in with your results. You have a discussion section mentioning several literature studies, without really relating them to your own results.

Page 18

Line 12: oil pyrolysis to "pyrolysis oil

Page 18-19

You haven't related any of the discussion to your own laboratory results. This feels more like a literature review.

Page 19

Line 41: "Thermal pyrolysis of PP over catalyst increased the yield of olefins from 39% to 62% Additionally, PE and PP plastics pyrolysis over catalyst produced the highest oil yields with 67% and 70%, respectively" at what temperature/conditions?

Reviewer #5: Authors have nicely worked and presented the research article. The study is well planned supported by analytical, experimental as well as other data in the form of tables and figures.

However, it requires further improvements as under:

Introduction: Pls cite recent references and mention the research gap in the area and justify why the study was undertaken and how these findings enrich the information for readers of WAVE as well as other scientific communities. Methods: Kindly detail few of the experiments procedure to be replicated by the others, mere citing the reference may not be enough.

R&D: It requires a lot of corrections, explaining each and every findings, with proper scientific discussion and justification. As of now it's less and just supported by only references. Quality of figures and tables must be improved and even few of the figures could be clubbed or in supplementary info please? Conclusion must be in bullets and may be concise as per guidelines.

Please note that this journal is a Transformative Journal (TJ). Authors may publish their research with us through the traditional subscription access route or make their paper immediately open access through payment of an article-processing charge (APC). Authors will not be required to make a final decision about access to their article until it has been accepted.

<b>Authors may need to take specific actions to achieve compliance with funder and institutional open access mandates.</b> If your research is supported by a funder that requires immediate open access (e.g. according to Plan S principles) then you should select the gold OA route, and we will direct you to the compliant route where possible. For authors selecting the subscription publication route our standard licensing terms will need to be accepted, including our self-archiving policies. Those standard licensing terms will supersede any other terms that the author or any third party may assert apply to any version of the manuscript.

<a href= https://www.springernature.com/gp/open-research/funding/policy-compliance-faqs> Find out more about compliance</a>

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <a href="https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy">https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy</a>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/wave/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

7 of 7



# Next steps for publishing your article (10.1007/s12649-022-01942-3) - Information required

1 pesan

**do-not-reply@springernature.com** <do-not-reply@springernature.com> Kepada: antonirawan@untirta.ac.id

21 September 2022 pukul 20.22

### **SPRINGER NATURE**

Dear Dr. Anton Irawan

Congratulations on the acceptance of your article: Pyrolysis of Polyolefins into Chemicals Using Low-Cost Natural Zeolites.

Before we can proceed with the publication of your article in Waste and Biomass Valorization, we first need you to complete the appropriate publishing agreement.

https://payment-and-rights.springernature.com/workflow/01d960ac-898a-4a1e-95ec-716c8f110bbe

With kind regards,

Springer Nature Author Service

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our Author Service team at ASJournals@springernature.com



### Your article is available online

1 pesan

**Springer Nature** <alerts@springernature.com>
Balas Ke: authorsupport@springernature.com
Kepada: Anton Irawan <antonirawan@untirta.ac.id>

2 Oktober 2022 pukul 12.19

# **SPRINGER NATURE**

# **Congratulations**

We're pleased to inform you that we've published your article and it's available to view online.

#### **Title**

# Pyrolysis of Polyolefins into Chemicals Using Low-Cost Natural Zeolites

#### Journal


Waste and Biomass Valorization

#### DOI

10.1007/s12649-022-01942-3

# View and download PDF

Please don't share this link with others, as the number of downloads is limited.



# Promote your article

We've put together some tips and tools to help you promote your article as widely as possible.

Find out how to promote your research

Best regards,

Your Springer Nature Team