Gmail iqbal syaichurrozi <iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com>

Successfully received: submission Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics for Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

1 message

Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology <EviseSupport@elsevier.com> Sat, May 12, 2018 at 9:06 AM
Reply-To: bab@elsevier.com
To: iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com

This message was sent automatically. Please do not reply.

Ref: BAB_2018_346
Title: Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics
Journal: Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Dear Dr. syaichurrozi,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript for consideration for publication in Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. Your submission was received in good order.

To track the status of your manuscript, please log into EVISE® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jspx?JRNL_ACR=BAB and locate your submission under the header 'My Submissions with Journal' on your 'My Author Tasks' view.

Thank you for submitting your work to this journal.

Kind regards,

Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Have questions or need assistance?
For further assistance, please visit our Customer Support site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Gmail iqbal syaichurrozi <iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com>

Reviews complete and decision pending for your manuscript BAB_2018_346

1 message

Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology <EviseSupport@elsevier.com> Thu, May 31, 2018 at 10:11 PM
Reply-To: bab@elsevier.com
To: iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com

Reference: BAB_2018_346
Title: Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics
Journal: Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Dear Dr. syaichurrozi,

I am pleased to inform you that I have received all the required reviews, which I will now evaluate before making a decision on your manuscript referenced above.

In the event that I need to seek the opinion of an additional reviewer, you may see the status of your manuscript revert briefly from 'Ready for Decision' to 'Under Review'.

To track the status of your manuscript, please log into EVISE® http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jspx?JRNL_ACR=BAB and go to 'My Submissions'.

I will inform you once I have made a decision.

Thank you again for submitting your manuscript to Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology and for giving me the opportunity to consider your work.

Kind regards,

Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Have questions or need assistance?
For further assistance, please visit our Customer Support site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Gmail iqbal syaichurrozi <iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com>

Revision requested for BAB_2018_346

1 message

Aydin Berenjian (Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology) <EviseSupport@elsevier.com> Fri, Jun 1, 2018 at 7:02 AM

Reply-To: aydin.berenjian@waikato.ac.nz
To: iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com

Ref: BAB_2018_346
Title: Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics
Journal: Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Dear Dr. Syaichurrozi,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. I have completed the review of your manuscript and a summary is appended below. The reviewers recommend reconsideration of your paper following **major revision**. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing all reviewer comments.

When resubmitting your manuscript, please carefully consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments, outline every change made point by point, and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed.

To submit your revised manuscript:

- Log into EVISE® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jspx?JRNL_ACR=BAB
- Locate your manuscript under the header 'My Submissions that need Revisions' on your 'My Author Tasks' view
- Click on 'Agree to Revise'
- Make the required edits
- Click on 'Complete Submission' to approve

What happens next?

After you approve your submission preview you will receive a notification that the submission is complete. To track the status of your paper throughout the editorial process, log in to Evise® at: http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jspx?JRNL_ACR=BAB.

Enrich your article to present your research with maximum impact. This journal supports the following Content Innovations:

- Explain your research in your own words and attract interest in your work using AudioSlides : 5-minute webcast-style presentations that are displayed next to your published article and can be posted on other websites. You will receive an invitation email to create an AudioSlides presentation within three weeks after your paper has been accepted.

- Interactive Plots: Interactive plot viewer providing easy access to the data behind plots. Please prepare a .CSV file with your plot data and test it online here before submitting as supplementary material.

Data in Brief (optional)

We invite you to convert your supplementary data (or a part of it) into a Data in Brief article. Data in Brief articles are descriptions of the data and associated metadata which are normally buried in supplementary material. They are actively reviewed, curated, formatted, indexed, given a DOI and freely available to all upon publication. Data in Brief should be uploaded with your revised manuscript directly to Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. If your Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology research article is accepted, your Data in Brief article will automatically be transferred over to our new, fully Open Access journal, Data in Brief, where it will be editorially reviewed and published as a separate data article upon acceptance. The Open Access fee for Data in Brief is $500. This fee applies to Data in Brief articles submitted via Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology between July 1st and December 31st, 2017.

Please just fill in the template found here:

http://www.elsevier.com/inca/publications/misc/dib_data%20article%20template_for%20other%20journals.docx. Then, place all Data in Brief files (whichever supplementary files you would like to include as well as your completed Data in Brief template) into a .zip file and upload this as a Data in Brief item alongside your Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology revised manuscript. Note that only this Data in Brief item will be transferred over to Data in Brief, so ensure all of your relevant Data in Brief documents are zipped into a single file. Also, make sure you change references to supplementary material in your Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology manuscript to reference the Data in Brief article where appropriate.

Questions? Please send your inquiries to dib@elsevier.com. Example Data in Brief can be found here: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/23523409

I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

Dr Berenjian
Editor
Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Comments from the editors and reviewers:
-**Reviewer 1**

-

In this manuscript titled, "Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics", the authors have investigated the effects of initial pH (6, 6.9,7 and 8) in an anaerobic environment on biogas production. The authors have conducted this study to test the change in pH during the fermentation process. They have concluded that the fermentation process stays within the intial pH range and is most stable at pH 8. In addition to this study, they have shown that the volatile fatty acid formation lessens at pH 8 and there is not much affect on methane formation during the biogas formation process and initial pH change. The study is a continuation to one that has previously been conducted for optimal biogas production. The authors should address the following points in order to make the manuscript better for the BAB journal:

Major points:

1. The results showing biogas formation at various pH conditions show very low levels of biogas formation compared to the levels mentioned by the authors in their previous manuscript. What conditions did they change during the current experiment apart from the pH? The values are quite less when compared to the previous study where the authors got the highest biogas yield of 113.92 ± 6.90 mL/g.

2. The authors should test the effects of initial pH with different ratios of the SM:RS. These various combinations might show different biogas yields.

3. The authors should also mention what the yields for NH_4^+-N, NH_3-N, TAN and VFA were with the combination of various SM:RS ratios along with various pH ranges.

Minor points:

1. Some abbreviations have not been explained at the beginning of the manuscript. The authors should make sure that all full forms are at the initial stages for the readers' convenience.

-**Reviewer 2**

-

The reviewer has evaluated the manuscript: "Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics". The authors validated the use of different initial pH on biogas production. The combination of a rice straw and Salvinia molesta and also various of initial pH of fermentation are the interesting ideas in order to increase production of biogas, especially in developing country like Indonesia. **The novelty of this manuscript is high enough and the content is within the context of the journal.** But, some references and deeper explanation should be provided. From me, **MAJOR REVISIONS** are needed.

Generally, the English language has to be improved

Introduction part

1. “At year of 2013, energy source in Indonesia comes from fossil fuels of 92% and 27 renewable energy of 8%. Indonesian government has a target to decrease the fossil fuels need to be 69% at year of 2050, while renewable energy need to be 31% at that year.”

   These two sentences can be merged and please provide 2-3 sources (papers or sites from Indonesia government)

2. “It also has higher doubling time (3-10 days) than water hyacinth”. How many days doubling time of water hyacinth?

3. “The presence of SM makes one of problem in ….” Please add some references

4. The background about rice straw (RS) and its problem in environment also should be explained briefly, and put some references

5. “For rural community, SM and RS are common problems in rice fields ….” Why?

Material and Methods part

1. “Substrates (total mixture mass of SM and RS of 10 gram) with the SM:RS ratio of 40:60 (mass basis) was put into the digesters. This ratio was chosen based on the previous study (Syaichurrozi, 2018).” You can merge these two sentences.

2. “Fermentation was conducted for 40 days …” Why you choose 40 days for fermentation process? Please put reference(s)

3. “In this study, biogas production was modeled using some proposed kinetic models, i.e. modified Gompertz model” We know that Gompertz equation usually used to determine biomass and growth rate of microbes, what is the correlation between biomass (or growth rate) of microbes and resulted biogas? The reason should be put in this manuscript.

Results and Discussion

1. In section 3.1., why after 12 hours of operation, the daily produced biogas decreased? And why in between more-less day-24 to day-28 the daily produced biogas increased again? Please explain your phenomena

2. “Fig. 2(A and B) also showed that the higher the substrate pH, the lower the ammonium ion concentration and the higher the ammonia concentration.” This sentence isn’t clear. Please use the more scientific sentence.

3. “It correlated with the total biogas and VFAs that were produced” Please explain deeper.

4. In Table 3, why ym in every modeling approach have the different value? You should explain it in section 3.3.4

-**Reviewer 3**

- The manuscfipt entitled "The goal of this study was to investigate the effect of initial pH (6, 6.9 (control), 7, and 8) on biogas production from co- digestion of mixture substrates of Salvinia molesta and rice straw." was to desing for biogas production and its modelling. Proof read the entire manuscript for typographical errors and fix all grammatical errors. Check English grammar or find proof sercive for this. Even if the manuscript is straightforward biogas production, but mathmaticals models reflects originality. Therefore, i suggest "major revision" for this manuscript. You find my specific comments as below:

What is the menaing of VFA in abstract? Please use long name first, the use abbrevation.
line 53: use "pH value" this is correct.
line 64: please remove sentences between lines of 64-68 (start with Naturally.....,until in this study).

line 76: which type of microorganism(s) include your inoculum? Please give more detail and proof it. Pleas explain water displacement method.
line 80: please indicate model, company, country for instrument. If it is possible, use figure for instrument. This part is really difficult to understand or imagine.
line 97: there is just one factor (pH)? Is this enough to explain production?
line 98: why inoculum was 25 ml? Any reference. What is the meaning of containing 1 gr TS in 25 ml? What is inoculum? How was it prepared?
line 104: please indicate model, company, country for pH meter.
line 108-109: Before you mention "ammonia and volatile fatty acid concentration" please first explain analysis. Author should separate "chemical analysis"
line 113-114: please add new title as "chemical analysis". then write GC-TCD in this title as well as the others.
line 139: Any statistical methods for comparing your results.
line 140-141: please add a transition sentence. Do not start directly with a new title.
line 144: what is the meaning of (A dan B)?
line 188: please add details for ammonia and total ammonia analysis in methods part.

Please discuss your results with recent achievements in your field. You can find a new manuscript about modelling in BAB journal. It has been published 2018. Please checl art-ones articles and discuss with your results.

-Reviewer 4

-

The manuscript studied the pH effect on biogas production from co-digestion of mixture substrates of Salvinia molesta and rice straw as well as the kinetic model of biogas production. It provides useful data on the production during SM and RS digestion. As such it does make a valuable contribution to the renewable energy. The mythology described appears sound and is not an issue. The main problem with the manuscript as it stands is in presentation and English usage. The manuscript needs to be edited for length and English usage prior to resubmission. There are also other issues need to be addressed before publication.

1. Please express the abbreviations when they first appeared in the manuscript, for example, VFAs in the abstract and introduction, TAN in line 159-160.

2. In fig 1 (B), how did you calculate the cumulative biogas volume, please descript it clear in the manuscript.

3. In fig 2, it is better to use the full names instead of the abbreviations in the title.

-Editor

Along with addressing all 4 reviewers concerns, I suggest using professional English proof reading service to assure the acceptable level of English.

Have questions or need assistance?
For further assistance, please visit our Customer Support site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Reviewer 1

In this manuscript titled, “Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics”, the authors have investigated the effects of initial pH (6, 6.9,7 and 8) in an anaerobic environment on biogas production. The authors have conducted this study to test the change in pH during the fermentation process. They have concluded that the fermentation process stays within the intial pH range and is most stable at pH 8. In addition to this study, they have shown that the volatile fatty acid formation lessens at pH 8 and there is not much affect on methane formation during the biogas formation process and initial pH change. The study is a continuation to one that has previously been conducted for optimal biogas production. The authors should address the following points in order to make the manuscript better for the BAB journal:

Major points:

1. The results showing biogas formation at various pH conditions show very low levels of biogas formation compared to the levels mentioned by the authors in their previous manuscript. What conditions did they change during the current experiment apart from the pH? The values are quite less when compared to the previous study where the authors got the highest biogas yield of 113.92 ± 6.90 mL/g.

Respond:

Thank you. The reason of the different value was explained in Line 217-222

2. The authors should test the effects of initial pH with different ratios of the SM:RS. These various combinations might show different biogas yields.

Respond:

Thank you. We have conducted digestion for another SM:RS ratio which was 0:100. The reason of selecting the ratio can bee seen in the manuscript.

Please check,

Line 54-56

Line 75-76

Line 108-116

Line 223-286

Figure 3 (Line 575)
Table 1 (Line 605)
Table 2 (Line 626)

3. The authors should also mention what the yields for NH_4^+-N, NH_3-N, TAN and VFA were with the combination of various SM:RS ratios along with various pH ranges.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check
Line 298-345
Figure 5 (Line 587)
Table 2 (Line 626)

Minor points:
1. Some abbreviations have not been explained at the beginning of the manuscript. The authors should make sure that all full forms are at the initial stages for the readers' convenience.

Thank you. Please check
Line 15, total solid (TS)
Line 19-20, volatile fatty acids (VFAs)

Reviewer 2

The reviewer has evaluated the manuscript: “Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics”. The authors validated the use of different initial pH on biogas production. The combination of a rice straw and Salvinia molesta and also various of initial pH of fermentation are the interesting ideas in order to increase production of biogas, especially in developing country like Indonesia. **The novelty of this manuscript is high enough and the content is within the context of the journal.** But, some references and deeper explanation should be provided. From me, **MAJOR REVISIONS** are needed.

Generally, the English language has to be improved

Respond: Thank you. The English language in manuscript has been checked and improved

Introduction part

1. “At year of 2013, energy source in Indonesia comes from fossil fuels of 92% and 27 renewable energy of 8%. Indonesian government has a target to decrease the fossil fuels need to be 69% at year of 2050, while renewable energy need to be 31% at that year.”
   These two sentences can be merged and please provide 2-3 sources (papers or sites from Indonesia government)

   Respond:
   Thank you. Please check Line 32-35

2. “It also has higher doubling time (3-10 days) than water hyacinth”. How many days doubling time of water hyacinth?

   Respond:
   Thank you. Please check Line 41

3. “The presence of SM makes one of problem in ….” Please add some references

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 42-45

4. The background about rice straw (RS) and its problem in environment also should be explained briefly, and put some references

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 46-50

5. "For rural community, SM and RS are common problems in rice fields …." Why?

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 52-53

Material and Methods part

1. "Substrates (total mixture mass of SM and RS of 10 gram) with the SM:RS ratio of 40:60 (mass basis) was put into the digesters. This ratio was chosen based on the previous study (Syaichurrozi, 2018)." You can merge these two sentences.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 108-111

2. "Fermentation was conducted for 40 days …" Why you choose 40 days for fermentation process? Please put reference(s)

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 124-127

3. "In this study, biogas production was modeled using some proposed kinetic models, i.e. modified Gompertz model" We know that Gompertz equation usually used to determine biomass and growth rate of microbes, what is the correlation between biomass (or growth rate) of microbes and resulted biogas? The reason should be put in this manuscript.

Respond:

Thank you. Please check Line 159-161

Results and Discussion

1. In section 3.1., why after 12 hours of operation, the daily produced biogas decreased? And why in between more-less day-24 to day-28 the daily produced biogas increased again? Please explain your phenomena

Respond:

Thank you. Please check

Line 202-215

Line 223-228

Line 229-249

2. “Fig. 2(A and B) also showed that the higher the substrate pH, the lower the ammonium ion concentration and the higher the ammonia concentration.” This sentence isn’t clear. Please use the more scientific sentence.

Respond:

Thank you. Please check Line 298-314

3. “It correlated with the total biogas and VFAs that were produced” Please explain deeper.

Respond:

Thank you. Please check Line 351-359

4. In Table 3, why ym in every modeling approach have the different value? You should explain it in section 3.3.4

Respond:

Thank you. Please check Line 410-429

Reviewer 3

The manuscfipt entitled "The goal of this study was to investigate the effect of initial pH (6, 6.9 (control), 7, and 8) on biogas production from co- digestion of mixture substrates of Salvinia molesta and rice straw." was to desing for biogas production and its modelling. Proof read the entire manuscript for typographical errors and fix all grammatical errors. Check English grammar or find proof sercive for this. Even if the manuscript is straightforward biogas production, but mathmaticals models reflects originality. Therefore, i suggest "major revision" for this manuscript. You find my specific comments as below:

1. What is the menaing of VFA in abstract? Please use long name first, the use abbrevation.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 19-20

2. line 53: use "pH value" this is correct.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 64

3. line 64: please remove sentences between lines of 64-68 (start with Naturally.....,until in this study).

Respond:
Thank you. We have removed these sentences

4. line 76: which type of microorganism(s) include your inoculum? Please give more detail and proof it.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 88-90

5. Pleas explain water displacement method.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 99-105.

6. line 80: please indicate model, company, country for instrument. If it is possible, use figure for instrument. This part is really difficult to understand or imagine.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check
Line 95-96
Figure 1 (Line 565)

7. line 97: there is just one factor (pH)? Is this enough to explain production?

Respond:
Thank you. We have conducted experiment for another SM:RS ratio which was 0:100. Then we have compared between performance (biogas yield, ammonia, ammonium, TAN, VFAs) of 40:60 and 0:100.
Please check
Line 54-56
Line 75-76
Line 108-116
Line 223-286
Figure 3 (Line 575)
Table 1 (Line 605)
Table 2 (Line 626)
Line 298-345
Figure 5 (Line 587)

8. line 98: why inoculum was 25 ml? Any reference. What is the meaning of containing 1 gr TS in 25 ml? What is inoculum? How was it prepared?

Respond:
Thank you. Inoculum was 25 mL based on previous study (Syaichurrozi, 2018), Line 118-119. The origin inoculum used in this study contained total solid (TS) of 4%w/v. Because we

used inoculum as much as 25 mL, that means inoculum contained TS as much as 1 g. No preparation of inoculum, we obtained it in fresh condition from the cow slaughterhouse and analyzed its TS content through (APHA, 2012). Then, we found that the inoculum had TS value of 4%w/v.

9. line 104: please indicate model, company, country for pH meter.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 133-134

10. line 108-109: Before you mention "ammonia and volatile fatty acid concentration" please first explain analysis. Author should separate "chemical analysis"

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 140-149

11. line 113-114: please add new title as "chemical analysis". then write GC-TCD in this title as well as the others.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 140-149

12. line 139: Any statistical methods for comparing your results.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 177-181

13. line 140-141: please add a transition sentence. Do not start directly with a new title.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 184-194

14. line 144: what is the meaning of (A dan B)?

Respond:

Thank you. We have revised it to be (A and B). Please check Line 199

15. line 188: please add details for ammonia and total ammonia analysis in methods part.

Respond:

Thank you. Please check

Line 142-145

Line 150

Line 152

16. Please discuss your results with recent achievements in your field. You can find a new manuscript about modelling in BAB journal. It has been published 2018. Please checl art-ones articles and discuss with your results.

Respond:

Thank you. Please check Line 419-429

Reviewer 4

The manuscript studied the pH effect on biogas production from co-digestion of mixture substrates of Salvinia molesta and rice straw as well as the kinetic model of biogas production. It provides useful data on the production during SM and RS digestion. As such it does make a valuable contribution to the renewable energy. The mythology described appears sound and is not an issue. The main problem with the manuscript as it stands is in presentation and English usage. The manuscript needs to be edited for length and English usage prior to resubmission. There are also other issues need to be addressed before publication.

1. Please express the abbreviations when they first appeared in the manuscript, for example, VFAs in the abstract and introduction, TAN in line 159-160.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check
Line 19-20, volatile fatty acids (VFAs)
Line 274, total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) and volatile fatty acids (VFAs)

2. In fig 1 (B), how did you calculate the cumulative biogas volume, please descript it clear in the manuscript.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check
Line 127-132

3. In fig 2, it is better to use the full names instead of the abbreviations in the title.

Respond:
Thank you. Please check Line 581-583

Editor

Along with addressing all 4 reviewers concerns, I suggest using professional English proof reading service to assure the acceptable level of English.

Respond:
Thank you. We stated that this manuscript have been read and checked by professional English proof reader.

Gmail iqbal syaichurrozi <iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com>

Received revision BAB_2018_346_R1

1 message

Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology <EviseSupport@elsevier.com> Tue, Sep 25, 2018 at 9:41 PM
Reply-To: bab@elsevier.com
To: iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com

This message was sent automatically. Please do not reply.

Ref: BAB_2018_346_R1
Title: Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics
Journal: Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Dear Dr. syaichurrozi,

Thank you for submitting your revised manuscript for consideration for publication in Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. Your revision was received in good order.

To track the status of your manuscript, please log into EVISE® http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jspx?JRNL_ACR=BAB and locate the submission under the header 'My Submissions with Journal' on your 'My Author Tasks' view.

We appreciate your submitting your revision to this journal.

Kind regards,

Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Have questions or need assistance?
For further assistance, please visit our Customer Support site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Gmail **iqbal syaichurrozi <iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com>**

Your manuscript BAB_2018_346_R1 has been sent for review

1 message

Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology <EviseSupport@elsevier.com> Wed, Sep 26, 2018 at 7:24 AM
Reply-To: bab@elsevier.com
To: iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com

This message was sent automatically. Please do not reply.

Reference: BAB_2018_346_R1
Title: Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics
Journal: Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Dear Dr. syaichurrozi,

I am currently identifying and contacting reviewers who are acknowledged experts in the field. Since peer review is a voluntary service it can take time to find reviewers who are both qualified and available. While reviewers are being contacted, the status of your manuscript will appear in EVISE® as 'Reviewer Invited'.

Once a reviewer agrees to review your manuscript, the status will change to 'Under Review'. When I have received the required number of expert reviews, the status will change to 'Ready for Decision' while I evaluate the reviews before making a decision on your manuscript.

To track the status of your manuscript, please log into EVISE® and go to 'My Submissions' via:
http://www.evise.com/evise/faces/pages/navigation/NavController.jspx?JRNL_ACR=BAB

Kind regards,

Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Have questions or need assistance?
For further assistance, please visit our Customer Support site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.

Gmail iqbal syaichurrozi <iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com>

Your manuscript BAB_2018_346_R1 has been accepted

1 message

Aydin Berenjian (Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology) <EviseSupport@elsevier.com> Wed, Oct 10, 2018 at 9:58 AM

Reply-To: aydin.berenjian@waikato.ac.nz
To: iqbalsyaichurrozi@gmail.com

Ref: BAB_2018_346_R1
Title: Effect of Initial pH on Anaerobic Co-digestion of Salvinia molesta and Rice Straw for Biogas Production and Kinetics
Journal: Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Dear Dr. syaichurrozi,

I am pleased to inform you that your paper has been accepted for publication. My own comments as well as any reviewer comments are appended to the end of this letter. Now that your manuscript has been accepted for publication it will proceed to copy-editing and production.

When your paper is published on ScienceDirect, you want to make sure it gets the attention it deserves. To help you get your message across, Elsevier has developed a new, free service called AudioSlides: brief, webcast-style presentations that are shown (publicly available) next to your published article. This format gives you the opportunity to explain your research in your own words and attract interest. You will receive an invitation email to create an AudioSlides presentation shortly. For more information and examples, please visit http://www.elsevier.com/audioslides.

Thank you for submitting your work to Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology. We hope you consider us again for future submissions.

Kind regards,

Aydin Berenjian
Editor
Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Comments from the editors and reviewers:
- **Reviewer 5**

- The authors have satisfactorily addressed all comments.

Have questions or need assistance?
For further assistance, please visit our Customer Support site. Here you can search for solutions on a range of topics, find answers to frequently asked questions, and learn more about EVISE® via interactive tutorials. You can also talk 24/5 to our customer support team by phone and 24/7 by live chat and email.
