Article

by Rudi Haryadi

Submission date: 15-Nov-2022 07:05PM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID: 1954693401
File name: 1624-4225-JEELR-Rev.docx (1.54M)
Word count: 4654
Character count: 27960

Journal of Education and e-Learning Research
Vol. x, No. x, xx-xx, 2022
ISSN(E) 2410-9991 / ISSN(P) 2518-0169
DOI:

Enhancing Mathematical Literacy Ability through Guided Inquiry Learning with Augmented Reality

Heni Pujiastuti[1]
Rudi Haryadi[2]

(✉ Corresponding Author)

[1]Department of Mathematics Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Serang, Indonesia.
[2]Department of Physics Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Serang, Indonesia.

Abstract

Mathematical literacy is important for students to formulate, use and interpret mathematics in various contexts. This study aimed to know the effectiveness of the Guided Inquiry Learning-Augmented Reality (GILAR) on mathematical literacy ability. This research method used a quasi experimental with a nonequivalent control group pretest-posttest design. The pretest and posttest questions are in the form of a description of the material in the geometry. The subjects of this study were 30 experimental class students and 30 control class students. Each of the experimental and control classes consisted of 15 male students and female students. In the experimental class, GILAR is used, while the control class is used direct instruction learning. The results showed that GILAR was more able to improve students' mathematical literacy skills than the use of direct instruction learning. The results of the N-gain show that the experimental class produced 5.88%, while the control class was 45.77%. Based on these results, learning by using GILAR can improve mathematical literacy skills in junior high school students. This study recommended to use the Augmented Reality in the school mathematics curriculum to address the students' mathematical literacy. Furthermore, it needs to conduct a similar study in the university level. Then, to response the covid-19 outbreak, it is necessary to implement the Augmented Reality.

Keywords: Augmented reality, Direct instruction learning, Guided inquiry learning, Junior high school, Mathematical literacy.

Contribution of this paper to the literature

This study contributed to use the Augmented Reality in the school mathematics curriculum to address the students' mathematical literacy. Furthermore, this study has produced an interactive learning in the school level. However, this augmented reality aslo can be used in the outside of the school such as in the students' home.

Commented [AF1]: The journal accepts the abstract of 200 words. The abstract is very short and less informative about objectives and purpose. It gives very little background information, research instrument and analysis methods.

Commented [FU2R1]: I have done.

Commented [AF3]: In this section you need to specify what makes this study original. What have you done differently that hasn't been done before? Your contribution shouldn't be more than 50 words.

Commented [FU4R3]: I have done.

1. Introduction

Education is an essential thing in the establishment of a developed country (Stalvey et al., 2019). Education is not just a medium to pass down culture to the next generation. Still, education is expected to change and establish national life patterns for the better (Zaslavsky, 2019). Quality education is a guaranteed indicator of the quality of human resources in a nation or country (Mason, 2019). Nations with high-quality human resources will be more advanced and able to compete with other nations (Tsai, 2019). Education is a term that cannot be separated from learning activities (Knuth, Zaslavsky, & Ellis, 2019).

Learning in formal schools provides provisions to students in various fields (Fang & Chapman, 2020). One of the scientific fields that students will get is informal schools in the field of mathematical science (Genlott & Grönlund, 2016). Mathematical literacy focuses on reasoning, thinking, and interpretation in addition to other abilities (Hidayat, Zamri, Zulnaidi, & Yuanita, 2020). Mathematical literacy is closely related to the real world. Therefore, people are required to understand the role of mathematics in real life and use it in solving problems associated with the context of everyday life (Zikl, Havlíčková, Holoubková, Hrníčková, & Volfová, 2015). Correspondingly, it also involves converting problems from the real world into mathematical forms or vice versa, namely interpreting an outcome or mathematical model into the original problem (Collins & Laski, 2019). Mathematical literacy skills can also shape the character of students needed to respond to challenges in the globalization era today (Roth, Ercikan, Simon, & Fola, 2015). Meaning to say, to be an efficient student, one must have mathematical literacy skills (Sumirattana, Makanong, & Thipkong, 2017).

One material that often involves mathematical literacy skills is material about geometry. Geometry material provides approaches to solving problems such as drawings, angles, lines, and so on (Yang, Stief, Dantan, Etienne, & Siadat, 2019). Geometry occupies a special position in the mathematics curriculum because of the many concepts (Madra, Breitkopf, Raghavan, & Trochu, 2018). It is a very strategic study of mathematics to encourage learners towards appreciation and experience mathematics by learning it more meaningfully (Sutiarso & Coesamin, 2018). Optical properties and representations make geometry support students in understanding the concepts of numbers and measurements (Zimmerling, Poppe, & Kärger, 2020). School geometry has a great opportunity to be understood by students compared to other branches of mathematics (Vidermanova & Vallo, 2015). Students have learned the introduction of basic geometry concepts from an early age, such as learning geometric shapes (Denizli & Erdogan, 2018).

This study aims to improve mathematical literacy skills by applying the Guided Inquiry Learning-Augmented Reality (GILAR) learning model. Based on the results of the related studies, it can be done by applying the right learning model (Cameron, Kim, Duncan, Becker, & McClelland, 2019). The learning model is a learning design with a different atmosphere (Pujiastuti..., Utami, & Haryadi, 2020). To increase and improve the quality of education, we must have a good learning model because the learning model is one of the most important for fluency in the learning process (Steinberger, 2020). With a good learning model, a teacher will be easily done the learning as planned so that learning will be more effective (Sad, 2020). So the creative learning model can foster students' mathematical literacy abilities (Purpura, Schmitt, & Ganley, 2017).

The research model adopted in this study is guided inquiry learning models with the help of augmented reality media. The guided inquiry learning model is given to students who have experience learning with the inquiry approach because this free inquiry approach places students as if they were working like scientists (Lotrecchiano et al., 2016).

Students are given the freedom to determine problems to be investigated, find and solve problems independently, design procedures or steps needed. Guided inquiry learning is a model activity consisting of observations, asking questions, reviewing books and other sources of information to review something that is already known, and planning an investigation. In addition, review something known based on the results of an experiment; use tools or devices to collect, analyze, and interpret data; propose answers, explanations, and estimate and present the results (Decker-Lange, 2018). The inquiry requires that someone recognizes their assumptions, thinks critically and logically, and considers alternative explanations (Suarez, Specht, Prinsen, Kalz, & Ternier, 2018).

Augmented reality has entertainment that can heighten students' interest in learning, playing, projecting it real, and involving the interaction of all five senses of students with this augmented reality technology (Cahyono, Sukestiyarno, Asikin, Ahsan, & Ludwig, 2020). It is because augmented reality has characteristics and functions that are almost the same as learning media.

Augmented reality is beneficial for interactive and real learning media and directly by students (Yip, Wong, Yick, Chan, & Wong, 2019). It is interactive, which makes students see the real situation directly and can imagine the results of the actual learning process given by educators (Pujiastuti.. & Haryadi, 2020).

This research sought to provide a deeper understanding of learning humanistic mathematics that can improve the character of junior high school students. This research specifically class VIII, who are on average age of 13 and constructivist mathematics learning. Problem-solving that can improve mathematical literacy skills as well as provide information for teachers about the treasury of science, especially the study of mathematical literacy skills and characters that are integrated into the process of learning mathematics geometry and measurement materials, especially in the cube, blocks, prisms, and pyramid.

2. Materials and Methods

This research method used quasi-experimental using the purposive sampling technique. Furthermore, the research design uses a non-equivalent control group design using two classes: the experimental class consisted 30 students and the control class consisted 30 students (Dick, Carey, & Carey, 2001). The experimental class used Guided Inquiry Learning-Augmented Reality (GILAR) learning, while the control class used Direct Instruction Learning (DIL) (See Figure 1).

Before being given treatment, students in each class are first given a test to ensure the initial ability of students (pretest). At the end of the study, students were also given a test (posttest). This test serves to see the results of students' mathematical literacy abilities. This research towards the research question of "is there any impact of the Guided Inquiry Learning-Augmented Reality on ability of mathematical literacy?".



Figure 1. Quasi-experiment non-equivalent control group design.

Based on Figure 1, all middle school students in Serang City, Banten province, Indonesia, were given a pretest question in the first week. The material given is a matter of geometry related to mathematical literacy abilities. It is done to be able to know the initial abilities of students about the ability of mathematical liters. In the second week, all students are given a d understanding of the importance of learning objectives from geometry material. Furthermo students are divided into two classes, namely the experimental class and the control class. Each class consists of 30 students. Each class consisted of 15 male students and 15 female students. Fr the third week to the seventh week, learning is carried out in accordance with the g ing of each class. The experimental class is the class that gets the treatment of the GILAR model, while the control class is the class that does not get the treatment or with DIL learning. In the eighth week, posttest activities were carried . This is done to determine the final results of learning by using the GIL model and DIL. Furthermore, the data in the form of pretes posttest values that have been obtained, then analyzed by calculating the normalized gain (n-g). This is done with the aim to find out the magnitude of changes in students' mathematical literacy skills or how much influence the use of the GILAR model on mathematics literacy abilities. Normalized gain is the proportion of actual gain (test-initial-test-end) with the maximum gain obtained. The gain formula is as follows (Hake, 1998):

$$n-g=\frac{x_{post}-x_{pre}}{x_{max}-x_{pre}} \quad (1)$$

Explan on:
n-g = n-gain
x_{pre} = pretest score
x_{post}= posttest score
x_{max}= maximum score
The n-gain coefficient is interpreted using the criteria that can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. N-Gain coefficient Criteria (Hake, 1998).

N-Gain Coefficient	Classification
0 ≤ n- g < 0.3	Low
0.3 ≤ n-g < 0.7	Medium
0.7 ≤ n-g < 1	Height

3. Results

Data on students 'mathematical literacy abilities described in this study consisted of students' initial mathematical literacy abilities obtained from the pretest and final mathematics literacy abilities data obtained from the posttest. In mathematics literacy ability data, pretest scores are used to describe students' mathematical literacy abilities before being given treatment in research. Whereas posttest scores were used to describe students' mathematical literacy abilities after being given treatment in the study. Furthermore, the pretest and posttest values obtained n-gain values to illustrate the magnitude the effect after doing the learning model in research. The following data is the mathematical literacy ability of junior high school students Serang city, Banten province, Indonesia, on geometry material using the GILAR and DIL models presented in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Comparison of n-gain between GILAR and DIL models.

In Figure 2, it appears that the average n-gain for the experimental group using the GILAR model is higher than the average n-gain of the control group using the DIL model. It shows that the use of guided inquiry learning-augmented reality (GILAR) can further improve the ability of mathematical literacy of junior high school students compared to direct instruction learning (DIL). The difference between the n-gain scores between the experimental class using the GILAR model and the control class using the DIL model shows the average n-gain score of the experimental class using the GILAR model is 58.88. It belongs to the medium category, while the control class using the DIL model of 45.77 also includes the medium category. Based on these data, it can be seen that from the two classes tested, there was an increase in the average results of students' mathematical literacy abilities on geometry material, but when viewed the results, the GILAR model was higher 13.11 than the DIL model. So, learning in the experimental class using the GILAR model is more effective than learning in the control class using the DIL model.

In the GILAR model, the teacher presents problems by connecting real-life phenomena with the subject being studied by students, namely geometry. In the stage of formulating the problem, the teacher displays the augmented reality media related to the material being studied to raise questions. The teacher provides the problem formulation of the augmented reality media that has been shown previously. In applying the GILAR model, students are given the opportunity to work on formulating procedures, analyzing results, and drawing conclusions independently, while in terms of determining topics, questions, and supporting materials, the teacher is a facilitator. Previous research findings support the effectiveness of GILAR in this study. The inquiry learning model emphasizes student activity maximally to search and find, meaning that the inquiry strategy places students as subjects (Stockdale, Hughes, Stronge, & Birch, 2019). Other research results also state that the free inquiry learning model can provide freedom and opportunities for students to explore by gathering facts through observation or experimentation activities to arouse students' interest and curiosity about the concepts being studied (Suarez et al., 2018).

So, it can be said that the GILAR model can create an intellectual confrontation in each student. Learning objects or the environment can be used to bring up facts or other symptoms that allow students to question up to the solution (Rohaeti, Bernard, & Primandhika, 2019). Furthermore, the direct instruction learning model can build learning models in specific fields of study (Pacanowski & Levitsky, 2020). The teacher can show how a problem can be approached, how information is analyzed, and how knowledge is generated (Martyn et al., 2020). Furthermore, the mathematical literacy ability of students by gender for male students can be seen in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Comparison of n-gain of GILAR and DIL groups from 15 male students.

Figure 3 shows that the average n-gain from 15 male students for the guided inquiry learning-augmented reality (GILAR) group can further improve mathematical literacy skills in 15 male junior high school students compared to direct instruction learning (DIL). This can be seen from the n-gain value obtained from the GILAR learning model treatment of 59.54 and the n-gain value obtained from the treatment of the DIL model of 42.36. So it can be said that the GILAR model is more effectively used on 15 male students than using the DIL model on 15 male students. Furthermore, mathematical literacy skills for female students can be seen in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Comparison of n-gain of GILAR and DIL groups in 15 female students.

In Figure 4, the average n-gain in 15 females for the guided inquiry learning-augmented reality (GILAR) group can further improve mathematical literacy skills in 15 female junior high school students compared to direct instruction learning (DIL). It can be seen from the n-gain value obtained due to the treatment of the GILAR learning model of 58.23, and the n-gain value obtained due to the treatment of the DIL model of 49.17. So it can be said that the GILAR model is more effectively used on 15 female students than using the DIL model on 15 female students.

Furthermore, the mathematical literacy ability of students based on gender differences for 15 male students and 15 female students can be seen in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Comparison of n-gain of GILAR and DIL groups based on gender differences.

In Figure 5, the average n-gain based on gender differences, the effect of using the GILAR learning model does not differ much between men and women. The results from using the GILAR model are still higher than the DIL model. But if we only see the effect of DIL learning, the mathematical literacy ability towards 15 women is higher than 15 men. It can be seen from the value of n-gain of 15 male students obtained due to the treatment of the GILAR model by 59.54. At the same time, the value of n-gain for the 15 female students was obtained due to the treatment of the GILAR model by 58.23. But the results in figure 5 show that the DIL model is more effective against 15 female students than against 15 male students. It can be seen from the n-gain value of 15 female students obtained due to the treatment of the DIL model of 49.17, while the n-gain value of 15 male students obtained due to the treatment of the DIL model is 42.36.

Furthermore, the ability of each aspect of student mathematical literacy can be seen in Figure 6.

Commented [AF5]: Please provide us figure 7.

Commented [FU6R5]: It should be Figure 5. I have changed it.



Figure 6. Results of students' mathematical literacy abilities from every aspect.

In Figure 6, it can be seen that the ability of students 'mathematical literacy resulting from the treatment of the GILAR model is greater than the ability students' mathematical literacy produced by the treatment of DIL models. So, it can be said that the GILAR model is more effective in improving mathematics literacy skills in junior high school students rather than using the DIL model.

4. Discussion

Mathematical literacy involves communication. In this aspect, each student feels a change and is designed to recognize and understand problem situations, read, decode and interpret statements, questions, assignments, or objects that may lead to mental shapes and situations, which require important steps to understand and clarify and formulate a problem. During the settlement process, interim results need to be lightened or presented. Furthermore, the solution has been found. Solving the problem may need to provide a solution and perhaps an explanation or proof or something else.

Mathematical literacy can involve changing realistic problems in the form of mathematics. The word mathematizing is used to describe things related to mathematical activities. At this stage, students can already describe the basic mathematical activities involved in transforming problems that are defined in everyday life into mathematical form (which includes structure, concepts, making assumptions, and/or formulating models), interpreting, evaluating mathematical results, or mathematical models about contextual problems.

Mathematical literacy requires reasons and arguments to solve it. In this aspect, students already have the logic of explaining and connecting elements of the problem to make conclusions from the problem. Furthermore, in this aspect, students can already check and justify what is given and justify the statement or solution to the problem.

Mathematical literacy requires the discovery of strategies to solve mathematical problems. This collection of critical processes is categorized as selecting or discovering, or planning strategies in using mathematics. In this aspect, students can recognize problems effectively and formulas and solve problems arising from an assignment or context.

Mathematical literacy requires the ability to use mathematical tools. Mathematical tools are physical tools such as measuring and calculating tools computer-based tools whose existence is wider. This ability includes knowledge about the use of various tools in mathematical activities and knowing about approaches in the use of these tools. In this aspect, students can already use augmented reality as a mathematical tool that can understand geometry concepts.

Furthermore, this study got new findings when students study geometry material. The ability of students is indicated from the results of their work which shows that: (1) students can interpret the comparison of two quantities; (2) students can associate with the concept of the circumference of a circle; (3) students can find the wide-area concept; (4) students can associate the concept of equivalent comparison; (5) students have not been able to find estimates correctly; (6) students can manipulate the concrete object models given the problem through assumptions and experiments; (7) students can transform geometric shapes to find the equivalent area requested by the problem; (8) students can state the steps of completion using sentences or sketches of images; (9) students can

Commented [A7]: Please rewrite following sentence in order to fix the issue of text overlap.

Commented [FU8R7]: I have deleted it.

carry out procedures in finding linkages with the concept of the Pythagorean theorem; (10) students can interpret problems and use certain representations, in the form of sketches.

5. Conclusions

Based on the results and discussion above, the guided inquiry learning-augmented reality (GILAR) model can further enhance students' mathematical literacy skills than the direct instruction learning (DIL) model. This study recommended to use the Augmented Reality in the school mathematics curriculum to address the students' mathematical literacy. Furthermore, it needs to conduct a similar study in the university level. Then, to response the covid-19 outbreak, it is necessary to implement the Augmented Reality. This study contributed to use the Augmented Reality in the school mathematics curriculum to address the students' mathematical literacy. Furthermore, this study has produced an interactive learning in the school level. However, this augmented reality aslo can be used in the outside of the school such as in the students' home.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.P. and R.H.; methodology, H.P. and R.H.; validation, H.P. and R.H.; formal analysis H.P. and R.H.; investigation, H.P. and R.H.; resources, H.P. and R.H.; data curation, H.P. and R.H.; writing—original draft preparation, H.P. and R.H.; writing—review and editing, H.P. and R.H.; visualization, H.P. and R.H.; supervision, H.P. and R.H.; project administration, H.P. and R.H.; funding acquisition, H.P. and R.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Commented [A9]: Please rewrite following sentence in order to fix the issue of text overlap.

Commented [FU10R9]: I have deleted it.

References

Cahyono, A. N., Sukestiyarno, Y. L., Asikin, M., Ahsan, M. G. K., & Ludwig, M. (2020). Learning mathematical modelling with augmented reality mobile math trails program: How can it work? *Journal on Mathematics Education, 11*(2), 181-192.Available at: https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.11.2.10729.181-192.

Cameron, C. E., Kim, H., Duncan, R. J., Becker, D. R., & McClelland, M. M. (2019). Bidirectional and co-developing associations of cognitive, mathematics, and literacy skills during kindergarten. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 62*, 135-144.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2019.02.004.

Collins, M. A., & Laski, E. V. (2019). Digging deeper: Shared deep structures of early literacy and mathematics involve symbolic mapping and relational reasoning. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 46*, 201-212.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2018.02.008.

Decker-Lange, C. (2018). Problem-and inquiry-based learning in alternative contexts: Using museums in management education. *The International Journal of Management Education, 16*(3), 446-459.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2018.08.002.

Denizli, Z. A., & Erdogan, A. (2018). Development of a three dimensional geometric. *Journal on Mathematics Education, 9*(2), 213-226.

Dick, W., Carey, L., & Carey, J. O. (2001). *The systematic design of instruction* (5th ed.). New York: Longmann.

Fang, Z., & Chapman, S. (2020). Disciplinary literacy in mathematics: One mathematician's reading practices. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 59*, 100799.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2020.100799.

Genlott, A. A., & Grönlund, A. (2016). Closing the gaps–Improving literacy and mathematics by ict-enhanced collaboration. *Computers & Education, 99*, 68-80.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.04.004.

Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics, 66*(1), 64–74.

Hidayat, R., Zamri, S. N. A. S., Zulnaidi, H., & Yuanita, P. (2020). Meta-cognitive behaviour and mathematical modelling competency: Mediating effect of performance goals. *Heliyon, 6*(4), e03800.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03800.

Knuth, E., Zaslavsky, O., & Ellis, A. (2019). The role and use of examples in learning to prove. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 53*, 256-262.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.06.002.

Lotrecchiano, G. R., Mallinson, T. R., Leblanc-Beaudoin, T., Schwartz, L. S., Lazar, D., & Falk-Krzesinski, H. J. (2016). Individual motivation and threat indicators of collaboration readiness in scientific knowledge producing teams: A scoping review and domain analysis. *Heliyon, 2*(5), e00105.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2016.e00105.

Madra, A., Breitkopf, P., Raghavan, B., & Trochu, F. (2018). Diffuse manifold learning of the geometry of woven reinforcements in composites. *Mechanical Reports, 346*(7), 532-538.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crme.2018.04.008.

Martyn, K., Kadziński, M., Cinelli, M., Słowiński, R., Corrente, S., & Greco, S. (2020). Preference disaggregation for multiple criteria sorting with partial monotonicity constraints: Application to exposure management of nanomaterials. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, 117*, 60-80.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijar.2019.11.007.

Mason, J. (2019). Relationships between proof and examples: Comments arising from the papers in this issue. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 53*, 339-347.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.07.005.

Pacanowski, C. R., & Levitsky, D. A. (2020). Self-weighing and visual feedback facilitates self-directed learning in adults who are overweight and obese. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 52*(4), 369-376.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2019.08.010.

Pujiastuti .., H., & Haryadi, R. (2020). The use of augmented reality blended learning for improving understanding of food security. *Jurnal Penddikan IPA Indonesia, 9*(1), 59-69.Available at: https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v9i1.21742.

Pujiastuti .., H., Utami, R. R., & Haryadi, R. (2020). *The development of interactive mathematics learning media based on local wisdom and 21st century skills: Social arithmetic concept*. Paper presented at the In Journal of Physics: Conference Series, IOP Publishing.

Purpura, D. J., Schmitt, S. A., & Ganley, C. M. (2017). Foundations of mathematics and literacy: The role of executive functioning components. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology, 153*, 15-34.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2016.08.010.

Rohaeti, E. E., Bernard, M., & Primandhika, R. B. (2019). Developing interactive learning media for school level mathematics through open-ended approach aided by visual basic application for excel. *Journal on Mathematics Education, 10*(1), 59-68.Available at: https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.10.1.5391.59-68.

Roth, W.-M., Ercikan, K., Simon, M., & Fola, R. (2015). The assessment of mathematical literacy of linguistic minority students: Results of a multi-method investigation. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 40*, 88-105.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2015.01.004.

Sad, S. N. (2020). Does difficulty-based item order matter in multiple-choice exams?(Empirical evidence from university students). *Studies in Educational Evaluation, 64*, 100812.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2019.100812.

Stalvey, H. E., Burns-Childers, A., Chamberlain Jr, D., Kemp, A., Meadows, L. J., & Vidakovic, D. (2019). Students' understanding of the concepts involved in one-sample hypothesis testing. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 53*, 42-64.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2018.03.011.

Steinberger, P. (2020). Assessing the statistical anxiety rating scale as applied to prospective teachers in an Israeli teacher-training college. *Studies in Educational Evaluation, 64*, 100829.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2019.100829.

Stockdale, J., Hughes, C., Stronge, S., & Birch, M. (2019). Motivating midwifery students to digitalise their enquiry-based learning experiences: An evaluative case study. *Studies in Educational Evaluation, 60*, 59-65.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2018.11.006.

Suarez, A., Specht, M., Prinsen, F., Kalz, M., & Ternier, S. (2018). A review of the types of mobile activities in mobile inquiry-based learning. *Computers & Education, 118*, 38–55.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.11.004.

Sumirattana, S., Makanong, A., & Thipkong, S. (2017). Using realistic mathematics education and the DAPIC problem-solving process to enhance secondary school students' mathematical literacy. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences, 38*(3), 307-315.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2016.06.001.

Sutiarso, S., & Coesamin, M. (2018). The effect of various media scaffolding on increasing understanding of students' geometry concepts. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education, 9*(1), 95–102.

Tsai, C.-Y. (2019). Improving students' understanding of basic programming concepts through visual programming language: The role of self-efficacy. *Computers in Human Behavior, 95*, 224-232.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.11.038.

Vidermanova, K., & Vallo, D. (2015). Practical geometry tasks as a method for teaching active learning in geometry. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 191*, 1796-1800.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.04.421.

Vychuzhanin, P., Hvatov, A., & Kalyuzhnaya, A. V. (2018). Anomalies detection in metocean simulation results using convolutional neural networks results using anomalies detection in metocean simulation convolutional neural networks. *Procedia Computer Science, 136*, 321-330.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.08.282.

Yang, Y., Stief, P., Dantan, J., Etienne, A., & Siadat, A. (2019). A new machine learning based geometry feature extraction approach for learning based geometry feature extraction approach for energy consumption estimation in image stereolithography. *Procedia CIRP, 80*, 741–745.

Yip, J., Wong, S.-H., Yick, K.-L., Chan, K., & Wong, K.-H. (2019). Improving quality of teaching and learning in classes by using augmented reality video. *Computers & Education, 128*, 88-101.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.014.

Zaslavsky, O. (2019). There is more to examples than meets the eye: Thinking with and through mathematical examples in different settings. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 53*, 245-255.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2017.10.001.

Zikl, P., Havlíčková, K., Holoubková, N., Hrníčková, K., & Volfová, M. (2015). Mathematical literacy of pupils with mild intellectual disabilities. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 174*, 2582-2589.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.936.

Zimmerling, C., Poppe, C., & Kärger, L. (2020). Estimating optimum process parameters in textile draping of variable part geometries-A reinforcement learning approach. *Procedia Manufacturing, 47*, 847-854.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2020.04.263.

Article

ORIGINALITY REPORT

25%	17%	19%	6%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	asianonlinejournals.com Internet Source	3%
2	www.mdpi.com Internet Source	1%
3	moam.info Internet Source	1%
4	Hilman Pardede, Kalamullah Ramli, Yohan Suryanto, Nur Hayati, Alfan Presekal. "Speech Enhancement for Secure Communication Using Coupled Spectral Subtraction and Wiener Filter", Electronics, 2019 Publication	1%
5	Submitted to University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia Student Paper	1%
6	www.science.gov Internet Source	1%
7	D F Maulana, Wardono, P Marwoto, S Mariani. "The ability of mathematical literacy on learning treffinger realistic assistance	1%

schoology", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019
Publication

8	Muftihatul Azizah, Sunardi, Erfan Yudianto. "Students' mathematical literacy ability in solving story problems", AIP Publishing, 2022 Publication	1 %
9	Chrisnaji Banindra Yudha, Asep Supena, Yufiarti, Nurfatanah, Vina Iasha. "Use Brain Based Learning During The Covid-19 Pandemic", Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Learning Innovation and Quality Education, 2020 Publication	1 %
10	Amiruddin B, A S Budi, M S Sumantri. "Enhancing science literacy capabilities of prospective primary school teachers through the STEM Project Learning Model", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	1 %
11	Husni Husni. "The Effect of Inquiry-based Learning on Religious Subjects Learning Activities: An Experimental Study in High Schools", Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Islam, 2020 Publication	1 %
12	N Hendracipta, I Rafianti, H Pujiastuti, R Haryadi. "The use of augmented reality to	1 %

improve mathematics conceptual understanding of pre-service elementary education teachers", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021
Publication

13	journal.unj.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
14	journal2.um.ac.id Internet Source	1 %
15	S Z Nurohmah, Mardiyana, H Pratiwi. "Fundamental Mathematical Capability of Seventh Grade Student's Mathematical Literacy in the One Variable Linear Equation and Inequality", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	<1 %
16	K L Purwanti, Sukestiyarno, B Waluya, Rochmat. "Mathematical literacy ability with RME (realistic mathematics education) approach in fifth grade students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019 Publication	<1 %
17	Lukman Nulhakim, Irania Istiqomah, Asep Saefullah. "The influence of using Sparkol videoscribe's learning media to increase science literacy on pressure concept", AIP Publishing, 2019 Publication	<1 %

18	W Wiana. "The Effectiveness of Using Interactive Multimedia in Improving the Concept of Fashion Design and Its Application in The Making of Digital Fashion Design", IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2018 Publication	<1 %
19	jurnal.fkip.unila.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
20	M Anwarudin, Dafik. "The analysis of students' metacognition in solving local wisdom based mathematical problems and the application of murder strategy to increase their metacognition ability", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2019 Publication	<1 %
21	P I V D Radjibu, H Kuswanto, Sugiharto. "Analysis of critical thinking skills and scientific communication of students for SHM concepts assisted by Ispring quiz maker test instrument", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	<1 %
22	Y Hendawati, S Pratomo, S Suhaedah, N A Lestari, T Ridwan, N W A Majid. "Contextual teaching and learning of physics at elementary school", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019	<1 %

	Publication	
23	journal.unnes.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
24	R Haryadi, R Situmorang, Khaerudin. "Effectiveness of use direct learning models for higher order thinking skills of pre-service physics teachers", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	<1 %
25	Submitted to Universitas Khairun Student Paper	<1 %
26	ojs.unimal.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
27	B A Nurnugroho, Nurul Arfinanti, Ika Kartika. "Mathematical Literacy on Rectangles And Triangles Based on The Characteristics of Students' Way of Thinking", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	<1 %
28	discovery.researcher.life Internet Source	<1 %
29	D W Utomo, W Barathayomi, H Pujiastuti, R Haryadi. "The Influence of Web-Centric Course in Learning Basic Mathematics toward the Digital Literacy Skills of Preservice Mathematics Teachers", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021	<1 %

Publication

30	Gunnar C. Pope, Ryan J. Halter. "Design and Implementation of an Ultra-Low Resource Electrodermal Activity Sensor for Wearable Applications ‡", Sensors, 2019 Publication	<1 %
31	Winwin Wiana, M. Syaom Barliana, Arifah A. Riyanto. "The Effectiveness of Using Interactive Multimedia Based on Motion Graphic in Concept Mastering Enhancement and Fashion Designing Skill in Digital Format", International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (iJET), 2018 Publication	<1 %
32	jurnal.unimed.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
33	link.springer.com Internet Source	<1 %
34	123dok.com Internet Source	<1 %
35	Almunir Sihotang, Muhammad Subianto, Zainal Abidin. "DEVELOPMENT OF STRAIGHT LINE EQUATION MODULES TO IMPROVE STUDENTS' MATHEMATICAL CONNECTION ABILITY THROUGH MIDDLE SCHOOL INQUIRY LEARNING", Eduma : Mathematics Education Learning and Teaching, 2019	<1 %

Publication

36	Faisal Faisal, Krisna Suwandita. "The Effectiveness of FRESH Technique to Teach Descriptive Paragraph", Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn), 2013 Publication	<1 %
37	N Nurlaila, L Lufri. "The effect of guided inquiry learning models using the help of student activity sheet on the knowledge competency of students in class xi of SMAN 1 Sungayang", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	<1 %
38	R Aminulloh, Suhendra, M G Ristiana. "Improvement mathematical problem's solving ability of junior high school students by using inquiry models with everyone is a teacher here strategy", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	<1 %
39	repository.uin-suska.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
40	Uba Umbara, Zuli Nuraeni. "IMPLEMENTATION OF REALISTIC MATHEMATICS EDUCATION BASED ON ADOBE FLASH PROFESSIONAL CS6 TO IMPROVE MATHEMATICAL LITERACY", Infinity Journal, 2019	<1 %

	Publication	
41	e-journal.ivet.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
42	fkip.ummetro.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
43	repository.radenintan.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
44	stm.bookpi.org Internet Source	<1 %
45	www.neliti.com Internet Source	<1 %
46	Ari Septian, Destysa Maghfirah. "MATHEMATICAL LITERACY SKILLS USING GOOGLE CLASSROOM ON TRIGONOMETRY", AKSIOMA: Jurnal Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika, 2021 Publication	<1 %
47	Fahmasari, Darmawijoyo. "Development of student worksheet of mathematical modeling learning using a financial context for senior high school students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	<1 %
48	Wardono, B Waluya, Kartono, Mulyono, S Mariani. "Development of innovative problem based learning model with PMRI-scientific	<1 %

approach using ICT to increase mathematics literacy and independence-character of junior high school students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018
Publication

49	digilib.iain-palangkaraya.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
50	ejournal.unsri.ac.id Internet Source	<1 %
51	eudl.eu Internet Source	<1 %
52	www.amesa.org.za Internet Source	<1 %
53	Ulfa Maya Sari Nurza, Retno Dwi Suyanti, Rosmala Dewi. "Effect of Inquiry Learning Model on Science Process Skills and Critical Thinking Ability in Human Movement Organs in Class V Public Elementary School 050666 Lubuk Dalam Stabat.", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021 Publication	<1 %
54	F R Agustina, Dwikoranto. "Development of STEM Model Student Worksheets with PhET Simulation on Hooke's Law Material to Improve the Ability Students' Critical Thinking", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2021	<1 %

Publication

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		