Submit My Paper

Papers Review

❮ List Papers (/fstpt/registrant/papers)

Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Conference Management Team

> No comment yet

Paper Status

$$\boxed{\text{Accepted}}$$

Paper Info

Full Title	:	The Evaluation of The Green Transportation Concept in the Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa University Campus Area
Topic Category	:	Resilience and Sustainable Transport
Nominate Paper For Award	:	No
Award Category	:	No
Presenting Author	:	1st Author
Submitted To	:	International Conference
Preferred mode of publication	:	ISTSDC 2021 Proceedings

Abstract

A green campus is an environmentally friendly concept, one of the criteria is the use and creation of transportation facilities where the existence of a green campus program is expected to create awareness and concern for the campus community to participate and be responsible for realizing a sustainable campus. The assessment method uses the UI GreenMetric World University Rankings with 8 green transportation criteria. Based on the results of observations and analysis, it was obtained a total of 1475 from a maximum value of 1800 with a percentage of 81.8%, which means that green transportation at Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa University has been going well. However, several things need to be improved, including special policies to restrict vehicles from entering the campus, developing emission-free transportation and making an integrated parking building so that the area is open on campus

Keywords: Green Campus,Green Transportation,GreenMetric

Paper

ⓘ Download Paper (https://smc2021.fstpt.info/data/user/paper/1628604617590-1._istdc.docx)

ⓘ Download Revised Paper (https://smc2021.fstpt.info/data/user/paper/1630497167876-1._istdc_2.docx)

ⓘ Download Final Paper (DOC, DOCX) (https://smc2021.fstpt.info/data/user/paper/1634394127784-1._paper_istdc.docx)

ⓘ Download Final Paper (PDF) (https://smc2021.fstpt.info/data/user/paper/1634394127786-1._paper_istdc.pdf)

ⓘ Download Proof-read Certificate (https://smc2021.fstpt.info/data/user/paper/check/1634394127787-4._certificate_of_proofreading.pdf)

ⓘ Download Proof of Similarity Check (https://smc2021.fstpt.info/data/user/paper/check/1634394127788-3._turnitin.pdf)

List of Authors

1st Author

Title	Dr.
Full Name	Rindu Twidi Bethary
Email	rindubethary@untirta.ac.id
Institution	Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa University

2nd Author

Title	Mr.
Full Name	Arief Budiman
Email	ariefbudiman@untirta.ac.id
Institution	Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa University

3rd Author

Title	Mr.
Full Name	Dwi Esti Intari
Email	dwiesti@untirta.ac.id
Institution	Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa University

4th Author

Title	Mr.
Full Name	Dandy Ramdhan Suryaman
Email	-
Institution	Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa University

5rd Author

Title	-
Full Name	-
Email	-
Institution	-

6th Author

Title	-
Full Name	-
Email	-
Institution	

Reviewer 1   Reviewer 2

Results of Paper Assessment

(1) Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper with its area of research

○ 1	○ 2	○ 3	○ 4	○ 5
Irrelevance to the conference	Weakly related to the conference	Good relevance to the conference but lack oftimeliness	Good relevance to the conference with acceptable timeliness	Perfect relevance to the conference with exact timeliness

Remarks: -

(2) Technical content: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: clarity of the methodology, completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.).

○ 1	○ 2	○ 3	○ 4	○ 5
Technicaly unsound	Results are plausible, but sufficient verification or validation is not provided	Valid and clear formulation but lacking independent validation. Results are plausible	Simulations only to independently validate a concept with solid scientific foundation	Experiment and simulations to independently validate a concept with scientific foundation

Remarks: -

(3) Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper

○ 1	○ 2	○ 3	○ 4	○ 5
Nothing new and trivial	New formulation of well-known and understood problem	Incremental but useful advance or improvement on the state-of-the-art	Significant advance or improvement on the state-of-the-art	Completely new and non-obvious to the expert

Remarks: -

(4) Quality of the presentation: This score should consider the following four points: organization of the material, clarity of writing, figure quality, and/or correct application of engineering conventions.

○ 1	○ 2	○ 3	○ 4	○ 5
Poor on writing and presentation	Perfect on only one of the points	Perfect on two of the points	Perfect on three of the points	Perfect on all four points

Remarks: -

Total Score [(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)]: 0